arXiv:1611.06918v1 [math.NT] 21 Nov 2016

Automorphy of $\mathrm{GL}_2 \otimes \mathrm{GL}_n$ in the self-dual case

Sara Arias-de-Reyna*, Luis Dieulefait†

22nd November 2016

1 Introduction

The main goal in this paper is to prove a new case of Langlands functoriality, namely we will establish, under some conditions, the automorphy of the tensor product of a classical modular form and an n-dimensional automorphic representation, for every positive integer n. Previous results in this direction include the case of $n = 2$, solved by Ramakrishnan [Ram00], the case of $n = 3$ by Kim and Shahidi [KS02], and the automorphy of the m-fold tensor product of classical modular forms by Dieulefait [Die12a].

The case of functoriality we address stands as one of the most fundamental conjectures comprising the so called Langlands functoriality, together with other questions such as automorphy of symmetric powers or base change. Moreover, a relation between this case of functoriality and the automorphy of symmetric powers has been established in [CT14], and so, even if the cases that we solve may not be general enough to get any conclusion in this direction, further work along the lines we propose may allow to complete the program in [CT14].

A drawback of our result is that we require the classical modular form to be of level one. We are currently working on a generalisation for any classical modular form of odd level, but there is still one obstacle that prevents us from completing the proof, namely at some steps we need to apply an automorphy lifting theorem in characteristic 2 and arbitrary dimension (for the minimal case). Such a theorem has been recently proved by Thorne (Thm. 1.1 in [Tho]), but it includes a technical condition (condition (v)) that makes it unfitted for our application.

The main reference that we will follow through this paper is [BLGGT14]. In particular, we will work with automorphic representations over totally real or CM fields that are RACP (regular algebraic cuspidal polarised) in the sense of Section 2.1 of [BLGGT14] and the strongly compatible systems attached to them (see thm. 2.1.1 of [BLGGT14]). Such Galois representations are RATOP (regular algebraic totally odd polarised) in the sense of Section 2.1 of loc. cit. We say, as in Section 5 of loc.

*Departamento de Álgebra, Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Sevilla, Avda. Reina Mercedes s/n. Apdo. 1160. 41080. Sevilla., Spain, sara_arias@us.es

†Departament d'Àlgebra i Geometria, Facultat de Matemàtiques, Universitat de Barcelona, Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes, 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain, ldieulefait@ub.edu

cit., that a compatible system of Galois representations is irreducible if there exists a density one set L of rational primes such that, for every prime in the field of coefficients of the system dividing a prime in L, the corresponding Galois representation is irreducible. We refer the reader to [BLGGT14] for all the relevant definitions. Given two compatible systems of Galois representations of the absolute Galois group of a number field F, say $R_\bullet$ and $S_\bullet$ we denote by $(R \otimes S)_\bullet$ the compatible system indexed by the primes λ of the compositum of the fields of definition of R and S, obtained by $(R \otimes S)_\lambda = R_\lambda \otimes S_\lambda$.

The main tools that we will take from [BLGGT14] are the following: two automorphy lifting theorems (in what follows, abbreviated to ALT), one for the ordinary case and the other for the potentially diagonalisable case (Section 2 and 4 respectively) and the result on changing the level and weight of an automorphic representation (Section 4). This last result will be applied, in particular, to different kind of level raisings, which in turn play a key role in order to ensure largeness of the residual images.

We state the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f \in S_k(1)$ be a cuspidal modular form and let $\rho_\bullet(f)$ be the attached compatible system of Galois representations. Let π be a RACP automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$, where n is a positive integer, and let $r_\bullet(\pi)$ be the attached compatible system of Galois representations. We assume that $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ is a regular and irreducible compatible system. Then the system $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ is automorphic, i.e. there exists a RACP automorphic representation $f \otimes \pi$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$ such that the compatible system attached to $f \otimes \pi$ is isomorphic to $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$.*

The notation $f \otimes \pi$ is justified, because due to known compatibilities of the compatible systems considered with the Local Langlands correspondence the L-function of this automorphic form agrees with the product of the L-functions corresponding to f and π.

Remark 1.2. *The irreducibility assumption for the tensor product is expected to hold in many cases. For example it is easy to see, using Ribet's large image result for classical modular forms, that if $n > 2$ and the residual images of the system $r_\bullet(\pi)$ contain $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ or $\Omega_n^{\pm}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ for a density one set of primes then the compatible system $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ is irreducible. This happens in particular in the case $n = 4$, provided that π is a lift of a "genuine" genus 2 Siegel modular form, thanks to Theorem 3.1 in [DZ16]. Moreover, it is expected that a similar result should hold for higher values of n, provided that the automorphic form is "genuine", meaning that it does not come via lifting from a smaller reductive group, other than the symplectic or the orthogonal. Thus, as long as we restrict to "genuine" self-dual automorphic forms, the irreducibility condition should always hold.*
Just to give an example, if Δ denotes the cuspform of level 1 and weight 12 and g denotes the genus 2 Siegel cuspform of level 1 and weight 20, it is easy now to check all the required conditions to conclude that the theorem applies and the automorphic form $\Delta \otimes g$ is well-defined.

Remark 1.3. *For some applications, such as the program proposed in [CT14], it is enough to prove residual automorphy of a tensor product such as the one considered in the theorem, modulo a suitable prime p. It is worth remarking that in this situation, the regularity assumption can be removed: in*

fact, if it is not satisfied by the given pair (f, π) one can replace f by another cuspform f' with the same mod p Galois representation and sufficiently large weight (using, for example, the method of changing the weight in Section 3) so that the tensor product for the pair (f', π) is regular. Then, if the rest of the conditions are satisfied, the theorem can be applied to establish automorphy of the second pair, thus residual automorphy (modulo p) of the given one.

Together with the results from [BLGGT14] that we already mentioned, let us briefly list some tools that we will use in our proof. We will make an essential use of the so-called Harris trick (see [BLGHT11] and [BLGGT14]) both to reduce to the case of even dimension n and to deduce automorphy of the tensor product in the case of a CM classical modular form. We will also use the safe chain of congruences linking a classical level one modular forms to a CM one, obtained in [Die] (including the Corrigenda to this paper, where some Steps of the chain are changed) and [Die12a], and also some variants of the ALT in [Die12a], which are specifically designed for tensor products. In the process of changing the weight of the automorphic representation, a result on existence of weight zero lifts (which is the main result of [GHLS15]) will be essential. Furthermore, we need several results on adequacy to be applied to the residual images, including the case $p|n$, which are proved in [GHT]. These results enable a generalisation of some (not all) ALT to the case $p|n$. Last but not least, we will need two results that allow us to guarantee large images of the residual representations in dimension n under suitable ramification conditions in the compatible system, one of them is proved in [KLS08] and the other one is a result of Guralnick, whose proof we include due to the lack of a suitable reference.

The general idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to build a chain of congruences connecting the given tensor product of compatible systems to another tensor product such that the 2-dimensional component is CM. For this last case, automorphy of the tensor product follows (as in Harris trick) by applications of base change. We will call this tensor product the seed, because automorphy of any of the tensor products considered is eventually reduced to the automorphy of this one. In each of these congruences, either one or both of the two components in the tensor product is changed in order to manipulate its level, weight, or both. The main technical difficulty lies on the fact that at each of these congruences we want to apply a suitable ALT "from right to left", in other words, we want to propagate automorphy from the last element in the chain to the first one to obtain our goal. This requires, in particular, keeping track of local conditions at both sides of the congruence, making sure that all tensor products considered are regular, and ensuring that the residual images are sufficiently large. We follow the 2-dimensional safe chain referred above whenever possible, but at some steps we will need to intersperse moves in the n-dimensional component in order that some ALT can be applied.

We now proceed to give a brief description of the organization of the paper. In Section 2 we collect the results from group theory that we will need. Most of what is contained in the section, including Subsection 2.2, has been proved by Guralnick. In Section 3 we collect the ALT that we will use later on, and some results on changing the weights and level. In Section 4 we recall step by step the safe chain from [Die] and [Die12a], pointing out the relevant information for our construction. In Section 5 we begin the proof of Theorem 1.1 with three very technical preliminary steps, in which the

n-dimensional component is manipulated. Observe that from the beginning we are required to base change to a suitable solvable CM field F and the automorphic n-dimensional representations that will appear in the safe chain from this point on are only defined over F. This is harmless for our purposes because of solvable base change (which is used in both directions). Finally, Section 6 explains how the series of congruences that safely link to the seed is constructed.

Acknowledgements: We want to thank Robert Guralnick and Toby Gee for contributing to this project in essential ways. Among other things, R.G. proved most of what is included in section 2, and also some of his recent papers on adequacy (written with several collaborators) arose in part from our conversations on what was needed for this paper. Similarly, the paper by T.G. (and collaborators) on existence of weight 0 lifts arose from his interest in this project. We also thank Florian Herzig for a careful reading and several comments on some parts of the paper.

2 Some Group Theory

In this section we collect some tools from group theory that will be applied to ensure that the residual image of certain representations is large, allowing us to apply ALT and weight and level change. In the first subsection we include a theorem, communicated to us by Guralnick, and we recall the notion of (n, p)-group of Khare, Larsen and Savin and the Main Theorem of section 2 of their paper [KLS08]. Next, in Subsection 2.2 we introduce the notion of adequate+ subgroups, and include several properties. The contents of this subsection are due to Guralnick.

2.1 Subgroups containing an almost simple group

The following theorem and its proof have been communicated to us by R. Guralnick.

Theorem 2.1 (Guralnick)**.** *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p, and let G be a finite (or Zariski closed) subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(k)$. Assume that $p > n + 2$ and assume that G contains no non-trivial normal subgroup consisting of unipotent elements. If G contains unipotent elements of every possible Jordan form, then G contains $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ or $\mathrm{SU}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$.*

Proof. We give the proof for the case that G is finite (if G is positive dimensional, the same proof applies). Call V the space on which $\mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ acts, and let $H \subset G$ be the subgroup of G generated by its unipotent elements. We assume without loss of generality that $G = H$. The fact that G contains a unipotent element with a single Jordan block of size n implies that G is irreducible. By [Gur99, Theorem A], G acts completely reducibly on V.

It also follows from [Gur99, Theorem B] that either G is a central product of quasi-simple finite groups of Lie type or G is the sporadic simple group J_1 and $p = 11$. Since the Sylow 11-subgroup of J_1 is cyclic, only one possible Jordan form is represented in G. So we may assume that G is a central product of quasi-simple finite groups of Lie type. Let X be the corresponding product of simple algebraic groups; thus X is connected and semisimple.

By [SS97, Theorem B] (see also the proof of [SS97, Theorem C]), it follows that X contains $\mathrm{SL}_n(k)$. In particular, this implies that G is simple and must be a form of SL_n over a finite field, whence $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ or $\mathrm{SU}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, where q is some power of p. The result follows. □

Remark 2.2. *If $n > 10$, one could use [GS03] to remove the condition $p > n + 2$.*

Now we recall a group theoretical result from [KLS08]. Before stating the theorem, we recall the following definitions:

- Let Γ be a group, and d a positive integer. We define Γ^d as the intersection of all normal subgroups of Γ of index at most d.
- Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ be a prime. A group of type (n, p) is defined as a non-abelian homomorphic image of an extension of $\mathbb{Z}/(n)$ by $\mathbb{Z}/(2p)$ such that $\mathbb{Z}/(n)$ acts faithfully on $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$.

Theorem 2.3 (Khare, Larsen, Savin)**.** *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. There exist constants $d(n)$ and $p(n)$ depending only on n such that, if $d > d(n)$ is an integer, $p > p(n)$ and ℓ are distinct primes, and $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^w})$ is a finite group such that Γ^d contains a group of type (n, p), then there exists $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^w})$ and $1 \leq k \leq w$ such that $g^{-1}\Gamma g$ is of the following form: a group containing $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^k})$, $\mathrm{SU}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^k})$, $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^k})$ or $\Omega_n^{\pm}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^k})$ and contained in its normaliser in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^w})$.*

Moreover, in each of the four cases, the quasi-simple group contained in $g^{-1}\Gamma g$ contains an element of order p.

Remark 2.4. *See Theorem 2.2 of [KLS08]. The last statement is not included in the theorem, but it follows from its proof.*

2.2 Adequate+ subgroups

J. Thorne introduced in [Tho12] the notion of adecuacy for a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(k)$, where k is a finite field of odd characteristic p. His definition implied that $p \nmid n$. Later this notion was extended in [Tho] to cover the case $p \mid n$. In this paper, whenever we consider an adequate group, we will be referring to this extended notion. Note that if p does not divide n, then the two notions coincide.

We now introduce a stronger notion, which was proposed to us by Guralnick. The reason for this new notion is that it behaves well with respect to tensor products.

Definition 2.5. *Let $k \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be a finite field, V a finite dimensional k-vector space. We say that $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is* adequate+ *if it satisfies the following conditions:*

1. $\mathrm{Ext}^1_G(V, V) = 0$,
2. $H^1(G, k) = 0$,
3. $H^2(G, k) = 0$,

4. *(Weakly adequate)* $\mathrm{End}(V)$ *is spanned by the semisimple elements* $g \in G$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let* $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$. *Then the following are equivalent:*

1. G *is adequate and* $H^2(G, k) = 0$*;*
2. G *is adequate*$+$.

Proof. Both implications are proved in [GHT] in Section 1. For example, condition (ii) in the definition of adequate follows from (1) and (3) above. □

For future use, we record the following result:

Lemma 2.7. *Let* $\ell \geq 7$ *be a prime number. Then* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ *in its natural* 2*-dimensional representation is adequate*$+$. *The same holds for* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^r})$ *for any* $r > 2$.

Proof. Adequacy follows from Corollary 9.4 of [GHT]. Moreover the H^2 condition is satisfied (see e.g. [BNP12, Theorem 4.1]). □

Theorem 2.8. *Let* $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ *be one of the four groups* $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^k})$, $\mathrm{SU}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^k})$, $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^k})$ *or* $\Omega_n^{\pm}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^k})$. *If* k *is sufficiently large, then* G *is adequate*$+$.

Proof. The fact that the group G is adequate is a particular case of [GHT, Theorem 11.5]. By Lemma 2.6, it suffices to prove that $H^2(G, \mathbb{F}_{\ell^k}) = 0$ with k sufficiently large, which is well-known (see e.g. [Hil80, Theorem 4]). □

Proposition 2.9. *Let* $k \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ *be a finite field,* V_i *finite dimensional* k*-vector spaces, and for each* $i = 1, \ldots, r$, *let* $G_i \subset \mathrm{GL}(V_i)$ *be adequate*$+$ *subgroups. Then the direct product* $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_r \subset \mathrm{GL}(V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_r)$ *is adequate*$+$.

Proof. Condition (4) in the definition of adequate$+$ is preserved by direct product, since for any semisimple elements g, h, the tensor product $g \otimes h$ is semisimple. Set $G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_r$ and $V = V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_r$. By Künneth formula, $\mathrm{Ext}^1_G(V, V) = H^1(G, V^* \otimes V) = \bigoplus_i H^1(G_i, V_i^* \otimes V_i) \otimes H^0(\prod_{j \neq i} G_j, \bigotimes_{j \neq i} V_j^* \otimes V_j) = 0$. Also by Künneth formula, $H^j(G, k) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$ follows from $H^j(G_i, k) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$. □

In our situation, we will have two (or more) representations $\rho_i : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, which are not linearly disjoint, but may share some small quotient. To deal with this situation, we will utilise the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 2.10. *Let* $H \lhd G \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ *be subgroups. Assume that* $H \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ *is adequate (resp. adequate*$+$*) and* $[G : H]$ *has order prime to* p. *Then* $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ *is adequate (resp. adequate*$+$*).*

Proof. Since $H \subset G$, the fact that Condition (4) in the definition of adequate$+$ is satisfied for H implies that it is also satisfied for G. The rest follows from the fact that the restriction maps in cohomology are injective from the coprimeness assumption. □

A similar reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 2.10 yields the following result.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $k \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be a finite field, V a finite dimensional k-vector space, and $H \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a group of order prime to p such that (H, V) is absolutely irreducible. Then (H, V) is adequate+.*

In order to apply Theorem 2.3, we will need the following result:

Proposition 2.12. *$n \geq 2$, $w \geq k \geq 1$ integers, ℓ a prime number (which we assume greater than 3 when $n = 8$), and let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^w})$ be a group containing $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^k})$, $\mathrm{SU}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^k})$, $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^k})$ or $\Omega_n^{\pm}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^k})$ and contained in its normaliser in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^w})$. Then the index of the corresponding quasi-simple classical group in G is coprime to ℓ. Moreover, if k is sufficiently large, then G is adequate+.*

Proof. We begin by proving the first claim. The case when G contains $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^k})$ is proved in [KLS08, Corollary 2.6], and we will give a proof that covers all the cases following a similar reasoning. Since the outer automorphism groups of classical groups are well known (cf. [CCN$^+$85, Chapter]), it suffices to prove that field automorphisms do not belong to the normaliser of the corresponding classical group (note that we are excluding the only case where graph automorphisms can occur, namely the case of characteristic $\ell = 3$ for type D_4). Since G acts absolutely irreducibly, it is enough to show that the representation is not invariant under any field automorphism. This follows from the fact that in all four cases, the classical group contains an element whose trace does not live in any proper subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^k}$, which can be seen by restricting to a small subgroup such as SL_2 for the first three cases and SO_3 for the orthogonal case.

The second claim follows automatically from Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 2.10. □

Remark 2.13. *Let F be a field, and assume we have two representations ρ_1, ρ_2 of the absolute Galois group G_F into finite-dimensional k-vector spaces V_1 and V_2 respectively, where $k \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is a finite field. Let K_i denote the field cut out by ρ_i, for $i = 1, 2$. Let further K/F be a finite Galois extension of degree coprime to p, such that the extension K_1/K and K_2/K are linearly disjoint, and such that the image of $\rho_i(G_{K_i})$ is adequate+. Then combining Proposition 2.9 and Lemma 2.10, we can conclude that the image of the tensor product of ρ_1 and ρ_2 is also adequate+. This fact will be used repeatedly in the paper.*

We expand on a concrete situation that will arise in Section 6, Remark 6.1. We have a tensor product of a 2-dimensional representation $\overline{\rho} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ and a $2n$-dimensional representation $\overline{r} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(k)$, for some $n > 1$ different from 4 and some finite field $k \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, in such a way that the image of the restriction of $\overline{\rho}$ and $\overline{r}$ to $G_{F(\zeta_p)}$ is adequate+. More precisely, the image of $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ contains a subgroup of the form $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$, and the image of $\overline{r}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ contains a classical group of the form $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})$, $\mathrm{SU}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})$, $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})$ or $\Omega_{2n}^{\pm}(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})$, and is contained in its normaliser in $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^w})$ for some (big enough) exponents r, s, w. Now, if we restrict to the extension M of $F(\zeta_p)$ cut out by the determinant of $\overline{\rho}$, we can assume that the image of $\overline{\rho}$ coincides with the almost simple group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$, which must be linearly disjoint with the extension of M cut out by $\overline{r}$. Both $\overline{\rho}(G_M)$ and $\overline{r}(G_M)$ are adequate+ (cf. Lemma 2.7 and Proposition 2.12), thus we are able to apply Proposition 2.9 and Lemma 2.10 to conclude that $(\overline{\rho} \otimes \overline{r})(G_{F(\zeta_p)})$ is adequate+.

3 Automorphy Lifting Theorems and change of level and weight

We will apply several automorphy lifiting theorems in the paper. To facilitate the reading of the paper, we will label them in the following way:

- (ALT-ORD) Theorem 2.4.1 of [BLGGT14], for an odd prime ℓ, extended to the case when ℓ divides the dimension n, with the more general definition of adequacy in [Tho]. Corollary 7.3 of [Tho] ensures that it remains valid in this situation.
- (ALT-PD) Theorem 4.2.1 of [BLGGT14] for the potentially diagonalisable situation.

Remark 3.1. *Whenever we apply (ALT-PD), both representations will be potentially diagonalisable at all primes $v|\ell$ of the base field F. Note that the assumption $\ell \geq 2(n+1)$ can be relaxed as in [DG15], but not in the situation when $\ell|n$. In any case, we will apply this theorem only for $\ell \geq 2(n+1)$.*

There are two theorems from [Die12a, Section 2], that concern automorphy lifting for tensor product of representations. Let us recall the setting.

Let ℓ be an odd prime number, and let (R, μ_0), (R', μ_0') (resp. (S, μ_1), (S', μ_1')) be n_0-dimensional (resp. n_1-dimensional) regular, algebraic, polarized ℓ-adic representations of G_F, which are irreducible. Given any ℓ-adic representation x, we denote by $\overline{x}$ the semisimplification of the reduction of x.

Let $(m_1, \dots, m_r)$, $(w_1, \dots, w_s)$ be the HT weights of R and S. Then the HT weights of $R \otimes S$ are $\{m_i + w_j : i = 1, \dots, r; j = 1, \dots, s\}$. If we want that $R \otimes S$ is regular, we need all these numbers to be different. This motivates the following definition, which is similar to the notion defined in [Die12a].

Definition 3.2. *Let $(0, k_1, \dots, k_{m-1})$ be a strictly increasing m-tuple of natural numbers in arithmetic progression, and $C \geq 2$ some natural number. We will say that $(0, k_1, \dots, k_{m-1})$ is C-*very spread *if $k_1 > 2C$.*

Remark 3.3. *Note that, whenever R is a 2 dimensional ℓ-adic regular, algebraic representation of weights $(0, k)$ with $k < C$ and S is an n-dimensional regular, algebraic representation with C-very spread weights, then $R \otimes S$ is also regular algebraic. The reason why we use $2C$ instead of C in the definition will be explained in Remark 3.8*

We will state and prove the following variations of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 of [Die12a], and we will indicate the extra ingredients that are necessary to prove these variants. Observe that in particular these variants apply to the case when ℓ divides the dimension of the representation.

Theorem 3.4 (ALT-MIXED)**.** *Let F be a CM field, let ℓ be an odd prime number such that $\zeta_\ell \notin F$, and let (R, μ_0), (R', μ_0') (resp. (S, μ_1), (S', μ_1')) be n_0-dimensional (resp. 2-dimensional) regular, algebraic, polarized ℓ-adic representations of G_F which are irreducible. Assume that the tensor*

product $R' \otimes S'$ is automorphic and assume that (R, μ) and (R', μ') (resp. (S, μ_1) and (S', μ_1')) are congruent mod ℓ.

Assume furthermore the following technical conditions:

1. *$R \otimes S$ and $R' \otimes S'$ are regular and irreducible,*
2. *For every $v|\ell$ place of F, $R|_{G_{F_v}}$, $R'|_{G_{F_v}}$ are ordinary and $S|_{G_{F_v}}$, $S'|_{G_{F_v}}$ are potentially diagonalisable.*
3. *The image of $\overline{(R \otimes S)}|_{G_{F(\zeta_\ell)}}$ is adequate+.*

Then $R \otimes S$ is automorphic.

Proof. We just indicate the differences with the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [Die12a].

Harris trick is only applied in the component S, which is 2-dimensional, and since ℓ is odd, we know that the dihedral representation we introduce has adequate+ image (cf. Lemma 2.10). We also apply Remark 2.13 to conclude the the residual image of the tensor product is adequate+ and hence adequate. In this way, the proof follows as in [Die12a] by applying (ALT-ORD) and an automorphy lifiting theorem for the minimal case (Theorem 2.3.1 of [BLGGT14]), both extended to the case $\ell|n_0$ (cf. [Tho], Corollary 7.3). To be more precise, as in [Die12a], we need to observe that Theorem 2.3.1 of [BLGGT14] extends to representations that are potentially semistable but not potentially crystalline.

□

Remark 3.5. *We will apply this theorem in the case $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ (see Section 5 for the definition of $\mathcal{L}$); in particular we will always have $\ell \geq 7$.*

Theorem 3.6 (ALT-HARRIS)**.** *Let F be a CM field, let ℓ be an odd prime number such that $\zeta_\ell \notin F$, and let (R, μ_0), (R', μ_0') (resp. (S, μ_1), (S', μ_1')) be n_0-dimensional (resp. n_1-dimensional) regular, algebraic, polarized ℓ-adic representations of G_F which are irreducible. Assume that the tensor product $R' \otimes S'$ is automorphic and assume that (R, μ) and (R', μ') (resp. (S, μ_1) and (S', μ_1')) are congruent mod ℓ. Assume furthermore the following technical conditions:*

1. *$\ell \nmid n_0$.*
2. *$R \otimes S$ and $R' \otimes S'$ are regular and irreducible.*
3. *For every $v|\ell$ place of F, $R|_{G_{F_v}}$, $R'|_{G_{F_v}}$ are potentially diagonalizable and for every v not dividing ℓ, $R|_{G_{F_v}}$ and $R'|_{G_{F_v}}$ are connected.*
4. *$S|_{G_{F_v}}$ and $S'|_{G_{F_v}}$ are connected, for all finite place v of F.*
5. *The image of $\overline{(R \otimes S)}|_{G_{F(\zeta_\ell)}}$ is adequate+.*
6. *$R \otimes R' \otimes S$ is regular.*

Then $R \otimes S$ is automorphic.

Proof. As in the previous theorem, the proof is quite similar to the one of Theorem 2.2 of [Die12a]. We just need to observe that, in the application of Harris trick, we have to consider an n_0-dimensional monomial representation with $\ell \nmid n_0$, thus by Lemma 2.10 it has adequate+ image. Then one applies again Remark 2.13 to conclude that the image of the tensor product by the monomial representation is adequate+. Finally we apply the automorphy lifting theorem for the minimal case (Theorem 2.3.1 of [BLGGT14]), extended to the case $p|n_0$ in [Tho], Corollary 7.3, to conclude the proof.

□

Remark 3.7. *Note that in the ALT-HARRIS it is allowed that the dimension of the representation S is divisible by ℓ.*

Remark 3.8. *In the applications in the following sections, R and R' will be 2-dimensional representations attached to modular forms belonging to the chain $\mathcal{C}$ constructed in [Die], hence their weights $\{0, k\}$ and $\{0, k'\}$ will satisfy that k and k' will be smaller than a certain constant C_0, and furthermore $k \neq k'$. We will work with representations S with C_0-very spread weights. It follows that condition 5 in the theorem will be satisfied (this is the reason for the 2 multiplying the constant in Definition 3.2).*

At certain points in the paper, we will need to perform change of level and weight of automorphic representations. The following result will be applied to modular forms.

Theorem 3.9 (Big weight)**.** *Let f be a newform of weight k and let $p > 5$ be a prime number. Assume that the image of $\bar{\rho}_p(f)|_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}$ is irreducible. Assume that $\rho_p(f)$ locally at p is potentially diagonalisable. We twist $\overline{\rho}_p(f)$ in such a way that the Serre weight k satisfies $k \leq p + 1$. Assume $k \neq p$, and fix a positive integer D. Then, up to twisting by a finite order character ramified only at p, $\rho_p(f)$ is congruent to another modular Galois representation $\rho_p(f')$ for a newform f' of weight $k' \in [D, p^2 - 1 + D]$. f' has level prime to p and $\rho_p(f')$ is (crystalline) and potentially diagonalisable at p.*

Moreover, we can choose f' such that the p-adic Galois representation $\rho_p(f)$ and $\rho_p(f')$ connect locally at each $\ell \neq p$.

Remark 3.10. *In all applications of this theorem, we are going to have a newform f of even weight such that p is unramified in the nebentypus of f. From this it is clear that the "minimal" residual Serre weight will be even, so in particular the condition $k \neq p$ is satisfied.*

Remark 3.11. *In the Fontaine Laffaille situation, the potentially Barsotti Tate situation or the ordinary crystalline case, the representation is potentially diagonalisable (see [BLGGT14] and [GK14, Lemma 4.4.1] for the potentially Barsotti case).*

Proof of Theorem 3.9. The proof is similar to the one of Lemma 4.1 in [Die12a]. We just indicate the differences with that proof. Call $\overline{\rho}$ the twist of $\bar{\rho}_p(f)$ of minimal Serre weight. We need to find a modular lift of $\bar{\rho}$ attached to a newform f' with the required conditions. We consider two cases.

- Case 1: Assume $k(\bar{\rho}) = p+1$. In this case, we know that there is a modular lift of weight $p+1$, ordinary at p, and by Hida theory, we can also find a crystalline ordinary lift (thus potentially diagonalisable) corresponding to a modular form f' of weight $k' \in [D, p^2 - 1 + D]$.

- Case 2: Assume $k(\bar{\rho}) \leq p-1$. In this case we know that there is a modular crystalline lift of weight $k \leq p-1$, thus it falls in the Fontaine Laffaille case. If $\overline{\rho}$ is reducible, this lift is ordinary (because we are in the Fontaine-Laffaille range), hence we can apply Hida Theory like in Case 1 to find a crystalline ordinary lift corresponding to a modular form f' whose weight is comprised in the interval $[D, p^2 - 1 + D]$.

  If $\overline{\rho}$ is irreducible, we proceed as in [Die12a]. Namely, using Lemma 4.19 of [BLGG13], we can find a potentially diagonalisable and crystalline modular lift of arbitrarily large weight k'. In fact, since the only restriction on this weight is given by the fact that the residual Serre weight is fixed, the arguments in Lemma 4.19 of loc. cit. (using the fact that a fundamental character of level two has order $p^2 - 1$) allows us to pick $k' \in [D, (p^2 - 1) + D]$.

□

We will apply Theorem 4.4.1 of [BLGGT14] to produce automorphic lifts of residually automorphic representations with prescribed local types several times. We recall the setup. Let F be a CM field with maximal totally real subfield F^+, n a positive integer and ℓ an odd rational prime, satisfying that $\ell > 2(n+1)$, $\zeta_\ell \notin F$ and, for all primes $v|\ell$ of F^+, v is completely split in F/F^+. Let S be a finite set of primes of F^+, which are split in F/F^+, and containing all those places of F^+ above ℓ. Given a continuous representation $\overline{r} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$, with $\overline{r}|_{F(\zeta_\ell)}$ irreducible, and such that for some algebraic character μ of G_{F^+} the representation $(\overline{r}, \overline{\mu})$ is a polarised mod ℓ representation unramified outside S, which is either ordinarily automorphic or potentially diagonalisably automorphic, then Theorem 4.4.1 of [BLGGT14] ensures the existence of a regular, algebraic, cuspidal, polarised automorphic representation (π, χ) of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$, satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi) \simeq \overline{r}$;
2. $r_{\ell,\iota}(\chi)\varepsilon_\ell^{1-n} = \mu$;
3. The level of π is potentially prime to ℓ;
4. π is unramified outside S;
5. For any prefixed choice of a prime $\widetilde{v}$ of F above each of the primes $v \in S$ above ℓ, and for each prefixed lift $\rho_v : G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}} \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell})$ of $\overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi)|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ which is potentially diagonalisable and such that, for each embedding $\tau : F_{\widetilde{v}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, the Hodge Tate weights $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\rho_v)$ are all different, it holds

$$r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi)|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}} \sim \rho_v$$

6. For any prefixed choice of a prime $\widetilde{v}$ of F above each of the primes $v \in S$ which are not above ℓ, and for each prefixed lift $\rho_v : G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}} \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell})$ of $\overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi)|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$, it holds

$$r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi)|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}} \sim \rho_v$$

Remark 3.12. *On the one hand, assume that $v|\ell$ is a place of F^+. Since the relationship "connects to" is an equivalence relationship (cf. [BLGGT14, (2) in page 530]), and we chose a lift ρ_v which is potentially diagonalisable, we have that $r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi)|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ is also potentially diagonalisable. Moreover, this relationship preserves the restriction of the Weil-Deligne representation to the inertia group at $\widetilde{v}$ (cf. [BLGGT14, (3) in page 530]). Hence if we choose ρ_v to be crystalline, then $r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi)|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ is crystalline too, thus ℓ does not appear in the level of π.*

On the other hand, assume that $v \nmid \ell$ is a place of F^+ belonging to S. Theorem 4.4.1 of [BLGGT14] ensures the existence of π such that $r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi)|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ connects to a prefixed lift ρ_v of $\overline{r}|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$. By Lemma 1.3.2 of [BLGGT14], $r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi)|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ will belong to a unique irreducible component of the corresponding deformation ring. Moreover the same reasoning shows that we can always take the lift ρ_v belonging to a unique irreducible component of the corresponding deformation ring (which we will always do, without mentioning it explicitly). Thus, with this choice $\rho_1 = r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi)|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}}$ and $\rho_2 = \rho_v$ are actually strongly connected (i.e. $\rho_1 \rightsquigarrow \rho_2$ and $\rho_2 \rightsquigarrow \rho_1$, cf. [BLGGT14, pag 524]). In particular, [BLGGT14, page 524, (6)] shows that the local types $(r|_{I_v}, N_1)$ of ρ_1 and $(r|_{I_v}, N)$ of ρ_2 at v agree.

Next, we want to record a special situation in which, applying Theorem A in [GHLS15], one can produce a weight 0 lift.

Theorem 3.13. *[Weight 0 Thm] Let F be a CM field, F^+ its maximal totally real subfield and ℓ a rational prime, n a positive integer such that the following conditions are satisfied: $\ell > 2(n+1)$, ℓ is unramified in F and all primes of F^+ above ℓ split in F/F^+.*

Let (π, χ) be a regular algebraic polarised cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$, and consider the set S of primes of F^+ above ℓ and the primes dividing the level of π. We assume that all $v \in S$ are split in F/F^+. For each $v \in S$, fix a prime $\tilde{v}$ of F above v. Let $r = r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi)$ and $\mu = r_{\ell,\iota}(\chi)$. Assume that r is Fontaine Laffaille locally at each $v|\ell$ (in particular, ℓ is unramified for π). Assume that $\bar{r}|_{G_{F(\zeta_\ell)}}$ is absolutely irreducible. For each $v \in S$, we will fix a lift ρ_v of $\overline{r}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}$ as follows:

- *If $v \nmid \ell$, $\rho_v = r|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}$.*
- *If $v|\ell$, we take a lift ρ_v which is potentially diagonalisable and is of Hodge type 0 (see Theorem A of [GHLS15]).*

Then there is an automorphic RACP lift (π', χ') such that:

1. *$\overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi') \simeq \overline{r}$.*
2. *The level of π' is potentially prime to ℓ, and furthermore π' is unramified outside S.*
3. *For each $v \in S$, $r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi')|_{G_{F_{\widetilde{v}}}} \sim \rho_v$. In particular, $r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi')$ has weight 0.*

4 2-dimensional Chain

Let $f \in S_k(N)$ be a modular form without complex multiplication, which is an eigenform for all Hecke operators T_q with $q \nmid N$. For each prime p, let $\rho_p(f) : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ be the semisimple Galois representation of the absolute Galois group $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ associated to f and p by classical work of Deligne and Deligne and Serre, and denote by $\rho_\bullet(f)$ the corresponding compatible system of Galois representations. By Ribet's theorem, the image of the residual representation $\overline{\rho}_p(f)$ is large (i.e. contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$) for p sufficiently large. We say the prime p is *exceptional* for f if the image of $\overline{\rho}_p(f)$ is not large. If f, f' are two modular eigenforms, we will say that they are *congruent modulo* p if the residual representations $\overline{\rho}_p(f)$ and $\overline{\rho}_p(f')$ coincide.

Fix a modular form $f \in S_k(1)$. In [Die], the author constructs a "safe" chain that connects any such f with a modular form $g_0 \in S_{44}(17)$, which has a nebentypus of order 8. We recall that there is a Corrigenda to that paper, where Step 7 of the chain is corrected and Steps 3 and Step 4 are replaced by two steps called Step 3NEW and Step 4NEW. This chain consists of a list of modular forms, such that, if f and f' are two consecutive terms, then there exists a prime p such that f is congruent to either f', a twist of f', or a Galois conjugate of f' modulo p. Moreover, section 3 of [Die12a] exhibits a "safe" 4-step chain that connects g_0 with another modular form $g \in S_2(27)$, which has CM. To fix ideas, let us number

$$\mathcal{C} := \{f = f_1, f_2 \ldots, f_{h-4} = g_0, \cdots, f_h = g\}$$

all the modular forms that appear in the chain connecting f and g, and $p_1, \ldots, p_h$ the primes such that the congruence between f_i and (a twist or Galois conjugate of) f_{i+1} occurs modulo p_i. Following the terminology in [Die12a], we call this chain the *skeleton chain*.

The fact that the congruence between two modular forms f and f' modulo p is "safe" means that it satisfies good conditions ensuring that ALT type theorems work. More precisely, we have the following conditions at all congruences of the chain:

- Local conditions at p: $\rho_p(f)$ and $\rho_p(f')$ are either both potentially diagonalisable at p, or both ordinary at p.
- Residual image: the restriction of $\overline{\rho}_p(f)$ to $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}$ has adequate image.

In fact, we have more precise information on the images of the members of the chain. As proven in [Die] and its Corrigenda (and [Die12a] for the last four moves), at all steps of the skeleton chain the residual images are adequate+. Indeed, for all primes p where a congruence occurs, except for the very last move (which we discuss below), we have that $\overline{\rho}_p(f)(G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)})$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$, where $r > 2$ if $p = 3$. Thus adequacy+ of $\overline{\rho}_p(f)(G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)})$ holds by Lemma 2.7.

It remains to discuss the very last move, where we have a congruence modulo $p = 13$ between a form $f \in S_2(27 \cdot 43)$ and a form $g \in S_2(27)$ which has CM by the quadratic imaginary field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. The projectivisation of the residual image of $\overline{\rho}_{13}(f) \equiv \overline{\rho}_{13}(g) \pmod{13}$ is a dihedral group, of order prime to 13. Taking into account that $\overline{\rho}_{13}(f)(G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})})$ is absolutely irreducible

(because the residual representation is not *bad dihedral*, cf. [Die12a]), Lemma 2.11 implies that the image $\overline{\rho}_{13}(f)(G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})})$ is adequate+.

The construction of the chain carried out in [Die] (see also the Corrigenda) is divided in 11 steps. Most of them consist of congruences $f_i \equiv f_{i+1}$ modulo p_i, where the only thing that changes from f_i to f_{i+1} is the weight (e.g. when applying Khare's weight reduction). Following [Die12a, Section 6], we will refer to this situation as:

- *Congruence of type A*: Both f_i and f_{i+1} are potentially diagonalisable at p_i, and connected at all $p \neq p_i$.

We want to observe at this point that Step 4NEW, which is the step where the MGD prime 43 is introduced into the level, consists of a single congruence modulo 43, and it is a type A congruence (see the Corrigenda to [Die]).
However, at some steps there are congruences where either there is some change of local type (at a prime different from the residual characteristic) or where the forms f_i, f_{i+1} are both ordinary, but not necessarily potentially diagonalisable. We classify them as follows.

- *Congruence of type B*: Both f_i, f_{i+1} are ordinary at p_i, of different weights, and are connected at all $p \neq p_i$.

- *Congruence of type C*: Both f_i, f_{i+1} are potentially diagonalisable at p_i, but they are of different type at some $p \neq p_i$ (in particular, cases of level raising or lowering at p).

We will further separate the last congruence, which is the only move where the common residual image of the representations is not large (although, as we will see, it is big enough for our purposes).

Below we list the congruences in the chain which are not of type A at each of the eleven steps of the construction:

- In **Step 1** of the chain, a Good-Dihedral prime is introduced. Following [Die], one chooses primes t_0 and q_0 satisfying suitable compatibility conditions (see page 626 of [Die]), and produces a type C congruence mod t_0 between two modular forms f_i and f_{i+1}, where both f_i and f_{i+1} have weight 2, and ramification is added at the prime q_0.

- In **Step 3NEW** and **Step 7** of the chain (these two Steps are described in the Corrigenda to [Die]: both consist of exactly the same congruences modulo the same primes, even if the forms involved are of different level) we have to divide in two cases, depending on whether the reduction modulo 23 of the newform which is principal series at 47 ramifies at 47 (case (i)) or not (case (ii)).

  • We begin with case (i). In this case we have:

  – A type C congruence modulo $p_i = 23$, where the local type changes at at the prime 47 (a congruence between principal series and Steinberg at 47). At the prime $p_i = 23$, both forms are potentially diagonalisable.

– In the following link, a type B congruence modulo $p_{i+1} = 47$, where the forms f_{i+1} and f_{i+2} are ordinary at 47.

We draw a diagram including the previous step of the chain, which is a type A congruence modulo 47.



TYPE A TYPE C TYPE B

• Now we consider case (ii). In this case, the only congruence which is not of type A is the congruence modulo 23 where we are assuming that the residual representation is unramified at 47 and we are taking a minimal lift, thus this is a type C congruence (we are doing level lowering at 47). After this congruence, the next move is a congruence mod 47 which is of type A (see the Corrigenda to [Die]).

- In **Step 5** of the chain, the Good-Dihedral prime q_0 is removed. In order to do this, we perform:

  – A type C congruence modulo $p_i = t_0$, where the ramification at q_0 either vanishes or becomes unipotent. We take a weight-2 lift f_{i+1}, which is Steinberg at q_0. A level raising may be necessary. Note that f_{i+1} is ordinary at q_0.

  – Next, we make a congruence modulo q_0, choosing a suitable lift f_{i+2} in the corresponding Hida family. Thus, this congruence is of type B.



TYPE C TYPE B

- In **Steps 9 and 10** of the chain, the Micro Good-Dihedral prime is removed, by reducing first modulo 11 and then modulo 43. More precisely:

  – In Step 9, we have a type C congruence modulo $p_i = 11$. The form f_{i+1} that arises will have weight 2 and will be Steinberg at 43.

  – In Step 10, we have a type B congruence modulo $p_{i+1} = 43$ between f_{i+1} and f_{i+2}. In this congruence, both forms are ordinary at 43.

We draw a small diagram for Steps 9 and 10:



TYPE C TYPE B

The last four steps of the chain, which connect the modular form obtained after Step 11 with a CM modular form $g = g_1$ of weight 2 and level 23, are described in [Die12a]. Following [Die12a], we start with the CM form, and perform some congruences to connect it (modulo Galois conjugation) with the output of the previous chain, which we call g_5. The following congruences are not of type A.

- A type C congruence modulo the prime 13. The level is raised at the prime 43, and we obtain a certain modular form in $g_2 \in S_2(27 \cdot 43)$, which is Steinberg at 43. Next, there is a type A congruence modulo 3 between g_2 and a weight 4 modular form g_3. One can check that both forms are potentially diagonalisable at 3 (for g_3 this follows from the fact that it is ordinary and crystalline at 3).
- A congruence of type B modulo 43. In this case, both g_4 and g_5 are ordinary at 43, and we are moving inside the corresponding Hida family.

Below we draw the diagram corresponding to these last four steps. Note that, when inserting this diagram at the end of the 11-step chain in [Die], it must be done from right to left; that is to say, we start from g_5 and run through the diagram up to g_1.



Remark 4.1.
- *At any step $f_i \equiv f_{i+1} \pmod{p_i}$ in the skeleton chain, if p_i does not belong to the finite list $\mathcal{L} = \{11, 13, 23, 43, 47, q_0, t_0\}$, the congruence is of type A.*
- *Note that, except at the congruence linking g_5 with g_4 described above (which takes place just three movements before the end of the chain), whenever there is a congruence of type B in the skeleton chain, say between f_i and f_{i+1}, we have that f_i is a weight 2 modular form, which is Steinberg at the prime p_i. Thus the representation $\rho_{p_i}(f_i)$ is ordinary, but not potentially crystalline locally at p_i. In Section 6, we will need to build on the previous congruence between f_{i-1} and f_i modulo p_{i-1}. One can check that, with the above mentioned exception, whenever the congruence between f_i and f_{i+1} is of type B, the preceding congruence in the chain is a congruence of type C.*

Remark 4.2. *In Section 6, we will need to enlarge the skeleton chain recalled above. In fact, at the steps where a type B or type C congruence occurs in the 2-dimensional skeleton chain, we will not be able to proceed directly in our reasonings in Section 6, and we will need to enlarge the skeleton chain by adding several new links. More precisely, at each type B congruence between f_i and f_{i+1} modulo p_i, we will need a modular form f', of weight j' belonging to the interval $[2n, 2n + p_i^2 - 1]$, satisfying that $\rho_{p_i}(f_{i+1})$ and $\rho_{p_i}(f')$ connect locally at all primes $p \neq p_i$, and we will add a new link*

to the skeleton chain, namely we replace



At each type C congruence between f_i and f_{i+1} modulo p_i, we will also need a modular form f', of weight j' belonging to the interval $[2n, 2n + p_i^2 - 1]$, satisfying that $\rho_{p_i}(f_i)$ and $\rho_{p_i}(f')$ connect locally at all primes $p \neq p_i$. We will add a new link to the skeleton chain as follows:



Using Theorem 3.9, we can construct the required modular form f' for each of the steps where we have a congruence of type B or C. Fix such a modular form for each of these steps. We will call $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ the extended 2*-dimensional skeleton chain, obtained from $\mathcal{C}$ by adding all these new links as indicated above.*

5 Preliminary steps

Assume we are given $f \in S_k(1)$ a cuspidal modular eigenform and an n-dimensional RACP representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, which is automorphic. Let $\rho_\bullet(f)$ and $r_\bullet(\pi)$ denote the corresponding compatible systems of Galois representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We want to prove that the compatible system $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ is automorphic, by linking it through a "safe" chain to the compatible system $(\rho(g) \otimes r(\pi^{(\text{end})}))_\bullet$, where g is a certain modular form with CM (cf. Section 4) and $\pi^{(\text{end})}$ is a suitable RACP automorphic representation.

In these preliminary steps we want to modify the automorphic representation π and replace it by another automorphic representation, say π', defined over a suitable solvable CM extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, which has some good properties, and a good behavior with respect to the modular forms belonging to the extended 2-dimensional skeleton chain $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ (cf. Section 4). For example, for any modular form $f_i \in \widetilde{C}$, we will want that the compatible system corresponding to the tensor product $(\rho(f_i) \otimes r(\pi^{'}))_\bullet$ is regular. To ensure this condition, we will impose the requirement that the weights of π' are C_0-very spread (cf. Definition 3.2). And most importantly, we need to make sure that automorphy of the new compatible system $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi'))_\bullet$ implies automorphy of our original system $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$. Actually, we will proceed in three steps, passing first to a suitable π', then to π'', and finally to π'''.

For the rest of the section, fix a modular form $f \in S_k(1)$ and an n-dimensional RACP representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ of weights $(0, w_1, \ldots, w_{n-1})$, satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Let us recall the setting. First of all, we may assume that f has no complex multiplication. Thus, by Ribet's theorem, the residual image $\overline{\rho}_p(f)(G_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is large (i.e. contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$) for p sufficiently large. Recall that we say the prime p is *exceptional* for f if the image of $\overline{\rho}_p(f)$ is not large. Moreover, the compatible system $r_\bullet(\pi)$ is irreducible (where we use the definition in [BLGGT14, Section 5, pag. 571],

i.e. there exists a positive density set of rational primes ℓ such that $r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi)$ is irreducible). In addition, the compatible system $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ is irreducible and regular.

As explained in Section 4, there exists a "safe" chain connecting f to a modular form $g \in S_2(27)$ with CM by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, via a series of congruences (up to twist and Galois conjugation) modulo different prime numbers. We fix such a 2-dimensional skeleton chain $\mathcal{C}$, and further we fix an extended 2-dimensional skeleton chain $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ (cf. Remark 4.2).

First we define several constants that depend on the skeleton chain $\mathcal{C}$, the extended skeleton chain $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$, the dimension n and the weights $\{0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}\}$ of the representation π. We adopt the notation in Section 4, in particular, we denote by q_0 the good dihedral prime of the chain $\mathcal{C}$ and t_0 denotes the order of the character giving the ramification at q_0.

- $\mathcal{L} = \{11, 13, 23, 43, 47, q_0, t_0\}$;
- $\mathcal{P}_1 := \{p$ prime such that at (at least one) step of the chain, a congruence occurs modulo $p\}$;
- $\mathcal{P}_2 := \{p$ prime such that p is exceptional for some $f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}\}$;
- $k_{\max} := \max\{k :$ some modular form $f \in \mathcal{C}$ has weight $k\}$;
- $w_{\max} = \max\{w_i : i = 1, \dots, n-1\}$;
- $N_{\max} := \operatorname{lcm}\{N : N$ is the level of some $f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}\}$;
- N_π is defined as the product of the primes dividing the level of π;
- $C_0 := \max\{k_{\max}, \ell^2 + 2n : \ell \in \mathcal{L}\}$;

For future reference, we also fix natural numbers k', $\widehat{k}$ satisfying:

- $k' > k_{\max} + w_\pi$;
- $\widehat{k} \geq 2C_0$
- $\widehat{k} \equiv 1 \pmod{42}$;

The number $\widehat{k}$ will only be used in Section 6.4, whereas k' will already play its role in the first preliminary step below.

5.1 First Preliminary step

For technical reasons that will be made clear in the second preliminary step, we need that the dimension of our automorphic representation is even. Therefore, the first modification we will do is to tensor our compatible system $r_\bullet(\pi)$ with a suitable compatible system of 2-dimensional, dihedral representations $D_\bullet$, replacing $r_\bullet(\pi)$ by the tensor product $(r(\pi) \otimes D)_\bullet$.

However, we want to avoid dimension 8, in order to be able to apply Proposition 2.12 to the image of the residual Galois representation attached to π. Thus, if the dimension of π is 4, we skip this step and proceed directly to the second preliminary step.

Assume that the dimension of n is different from 4. First of all, we choose a prime ℓ satisfying:

- $\ell > k_{\max} + w_{\max} + k'n$
- $\ell > \max\{p : p \text{ divides } N_\pi N_{\max}\}$.
- $(\ell+1) \nmid (k'-1)n$
- $\ell > 2(4n+1)$
- The restriction of the image of $\overline{\rho}_{\ell,\iota}(f) \otimes \overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi)$ to the cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$ is irreducible.

Note that the irreducibility of the system $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$, together with [BLGGT14, Proposition 5.3.2], ensures that the last condition is satisfied at a density one set of primes ℓ.

We construct an induced representation of $G_\mathbb{Q}$ as in Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 7.5 in [BLGHT11]. Following their construction, we choose an imaginary quadratic field M which is linearly disjoint to the compositum of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$ with the fixed field of the residual representation $\overline{\rho}_{\ell,\iota}(f) \otimes \overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi)$ (not just the factor $\overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi)$), in which ℓ splits, and choose $b > k'$. We consider the character $\theta_\ell : G_M \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$, chosen like in Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 7.5, which belongs to a compatible system $\theta_\bullet$, and let $D_\bullet = \mathrm{Ind}_{G_M}^{G_\mathbb{Q}} \theta_\bullet$. By quadratic base change, we have that the compatible system $(r(\pi) \otimes D)_\bullet$ is automorphic.

From now on, we will work with the tensor product $(r(\pi) \otimes D)_\bullet$ instead of $r_\bullet(\pi)$. That is to say, we replace π by the automorphic representation π' be the corresponding to the compatible system $(r(\pi) \otimes D)_\bullet$. In order to make this replacement without loss of generality, we need to check that if $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi'))_\bullet$ is automorphic, then $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ is automorphic. This follows by solvable base change as in step 3 of the proof of Theorem 7.5 of [BLGHT11].

The automorphic representation π' satisfies the following properties:

1. The dimension of the compatible system of Galois representations $r_\bullet(\pi')$ is even, equal to $2n$.
2. The image of $\overline{\rho}_{\ell,\iota}(f) \otimes \overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi')|_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_\ell)}$ is irreducible: this follows from the last condition on ℓ and the choice of the field M.
3. $r_{\ell,\iota}(f) \otimes r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi) \otimes D_\ell$ is regular (because of the choice of k').
4. The prime ℓ satisfies that $\ell > k_{\max} + w_{\max} + k'n$, and moreover ℓ is greater than the primes dividing the levels of f or π. Thus, the representation $\rho_{\ell,\iota}(f) \otimes r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi) \otimes D_\ell$ is Fontaine-Laffaille at ℓ.
5. Furthermore, $\ell > 2 \cdot (4n+1)$ and $(\ell+1) \nmid (k'-1)n$.

5.2 Second preliminary step

Next, we want to modify the automorphic form π' and replace it by another automorphic form π'', such that the residual representations are generically very big (not just irreducible) at a density one set of rational primes, that the weights are suitably spread, and that the primes of $\mathcal{L}$ are Steinberg in π'', to mention just a few desirable properties that will be exploited in subsequent sections. In order to modify π', we will use existence theorems and ALT from [BLGGT14]. We need to replace the field $\mathbb{Q}$ by a CM field to be able to apply these theorems. Recall that for the first preliminary step we had fixed a large prime ℓ. Denote by $N_{\pi'}$ the product of the rational primes dividing the conductor of π', and define

$$S = \{\ell\} \cup \mathcal{L} \cup \{p : p | N_{\pi'}\}.$$

Lemma 5.1. *There exists a solvable CM extension $F/\mathbb{Q}$ such that:*

- *F is linearly disjoint over $\mathbb{Q}$ from the field*

$$\mathbb{Q}_{\textit{avoid}} := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, \zeta_{13}, \zeta_\ell, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\ker \overline{\rho}_{\ell,\iota}(f) \otimes \overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi')}, \zeta_p : p \in \mathcal{L}).$$

- *For all places v of F^+ above a prime in S, v splits completely in F/F^+.*
- *For all places u of F above ℓ, the completion F_u of F at u contains ζ_ℓ and $\overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi')|_{G_{F_u}}$ is trivial.*
- *For all $p \in \mathcal{L}$, for all places w of F above p, $\overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi')|_{G_{F_w}}$ is trivial and the cardinality κ_p of the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_F/w$ satisfies $\kappa_p \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$.*
- *For all $p \in N_{\pi'} \setminus \mathcal{L}$, for all places w of F above p, $\overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi')|_{G_{F_w}}$ is trivial.*

Proof. First we construct the totally real subfield F^+ of F, by means of [BLGGT14, Lemma A.2.1 in the appendix]. Namely, we require: (1) $F^+/\mathbb{Q}$ is linearly disjoint from $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{avoid}}/\mathbb{Q}$; (2) for all places u of F^+ above ℓ, F_u^+ contains ζ_ℓ and $\overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi')|_{G_{F_u^+}}$ is trivial; (3) for all $p \in \mathcal{L}$, for all places w of F^+ above p, $\overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi')|_{G_{F_w^+}}$ is trivial and the cardinality κ_p of the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_F^+/w$ satisfies $\kappa_p \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ and (4) for all $p \in N_{\pi'} \setminus \mathcal{L}$, for all places w of F^+ above p, $\overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi')|_{G_{F_w^+}}$ is trivial.

From this point, we can argue as in Corollary A.2.3 of loc. cit. We pick an imaginary quadratic field K such that, if we define $F := K \cdot F^+$, F is linearly disjoint from $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{avoid}}$, and all primes in the set S are split in $K/\mathbb{Q}$. It is clear that such a K exists, for the first condition it is enough to pick K linearly disjoint from $F^+ \cdot \mathbb{Q}_{\text{avoid}}$. Then, the primes in S are split in F/F^+, and all the required local conditions are satisfied over F since we know that they hold over F^+. □

We consider the base change π'_F to the field F of the automorphic representation π'. (π'_F, μ) is a polarised automorphic representation for a certain algebraic character μ. The next step is to apply Theorem 4.4.1 of [BLGGT14] to the compatible system attached to (π'_F, μ), to produce an automorphic lifiting of $\overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi'_F)$ with suitable level and weight. Note that the prime ℓ satisfies that

$\ell > 2(2n+1)$ (with $2n$ equal to the dimension of $\overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi'_F)$), $\zeta_\ell \notin F$, all primes $\lambda|\ell$ of F are split in F/F^+.

Label each possible combination of Jordan blocks in GL_{2n}, indexed by a finite set I, and for each $i \in I$, choose a different prime $p_i > \sup\{C_0, p : p|N_{\pi'}\}$ which is split in F/F^+, and satisfying that the cardinality κ_{p_i} of the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_F/w$ satisfies $\kappa_{p_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. (The existence of such primes is guaranteed by Chebotarev Density Theorem applied over $\mathbb{Q}$).

Let $\mathcal{Z} := \{p_i : i \in I\}$, and define

$$S := \{\ell\} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup \mathcal{L} \cup \{p : p|N_{\pi'}\} \tag{5.1}$$

Note that all $\lambda|\ell$ are split in F/F^+, and that, for all $v|p \in S$, v is split in F/F^+. For each $p \in S$, we choose a place $\tilde{v}$ above p.

The residual representation $\overline{r} = \overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi'_F)$ satisfies that $(\overline{r}, \overline{\mu})$ is an automorphic, potentially diagonalisable polarised representation mod ℓ, unramified outside S, and $\overline{r}|_{G_{F(\zeta_\ell)}}$ is absolutely irreducible.

We prescribe the following local conditions:

- At $v|\ell$, we prescribe the ordinary, crystalline lift $\rho_v = 1 \oplus \varepsilon_\ell^a \oplus \cdots \oplus \varepsilon_\ell^{(2n-1)a}$, where a is some chosen integer greater than C_0 and divisible by $2n$.
- At $v|p \in \mathcal{L}$, we prescribe π''_v to be an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(F_v)$. Via the local Langlands correspondence, we are prescribing a lift ρ_v which is unipotent with a unique Jordan block.
- At $v|p_i$ for $i \in I$, we choose the lift ρ_v as an unipotent with the Jordan block decomposition corresponding to $i \in I$.
- At $v \in N_{\pi'} \setminus \mathcal{L}$ we choose the lift $\rho_v = \mathrm{Id}$ (recall that by definition of F, $\overline{r}_{\ell,\iota}(\pi')|_{G_{F_v}}$ is trivial).

By Theorem 4.4.1 of [BLGGT14], there exists RACP automorphic representation (π'', μ) of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that the compatible system $r_\bullet(\pi'')$ satisfies:

- The Hodge Tate weights of $r_\bullet(\pi'')$ are C_0-very spread.
- The primes in $\mathcal{L}$ are Steinberg in $r_\bullet(\pi'')$.
- At the primes of $\mathcal{Z}$, $\overline{r}_\bullet(\pi'')$ has unipotent ramification with all possible Jordan block decompositions.
- $r_\bullet(\pi'')$ is unramified outside the primes of $\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{Z}$. In particular, $\det \circ \overline{r}_\bullet(\pi'')$ is unramified outside the residue characteristic. In fact, for any prime t not dividing the level of π'', the determinant of $\overline{r}_{t,\iota}(\pi'')$ equals $\overline{\varepsilon}_t^k \cdot \alpha$, where α is an unramified character of G_F, $\overline{\varepsilon}_t$ denotes the mod t cyclotomic character, and k is an integer divisible by $2n$.

Remark 5.2. *For a detailed exposition of the level raising at the primes in $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$, we refer to [CT14, Prop. 5.2] and [CT15, Section 6], where a similar situation is considered.*

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of rational primes that are totally split in F. Then the compatible system $r(\pi'')_\bullet$ described above satisfies that for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ except for a density zero set of rational primes, the image of the residual representation $\overline{r}_{p,\iota}(\pi)$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ or $SU_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$.*

Proof. For each prime $\ell_i \in \mathcal{L}$, and each $v|\ell_i$, the representation π''_v is Steinberg. Since the representations $r_{p,\iota}(\pi'')$ are semisimple (cf. Thm. 2.1.1 of [BLGGT14]), we obtain that, if $p \neq \ell_i$, $r_{p,\iota}(\pi'')$ contains an irreducible subgroup. Since the set $\mathcal{L}$ has more than one element, this ensures (absolute) irreducibility of $r_{p,\iota}(\pi'')$ for all primes p. By Proposition 5.3.2 of [BLGGT14], we have that, for a density one set $\mathcal{M}$ of rational primes, the corresponding residual representation $\overline{r}_{p,\iota}(\pi'')|_{F(\zeta_p)}$ is irreducible. This is one of the conditions required to apply Theorem 4.4.1 of [BLGGT14]. By removing a finite set of primes from $\mathcal{M}$, we can assume that for all primes in $\mathcal{M}$, the corresponding Galois representation is Fontaine-Laffaille (which ensures potential diagonalisability, which is also required in Theorem 4.4.1 of loc. cit.), $p > 2(2n+1)$ and $\zeta_p \notin F$. Let $\mathcal{M}'$ be the subset of primes of $\mathcal{M}$ which are totally split in $F/\mathbb{Q}$.

We perform now a level lowering argument (cf. Lemma 5.2 in [AdRDSW15]) to show that there is at most a finite subset P of $\mathcal{M}'$ such that the unipotent ramification of $r_{p,\iota}(\pi'')$ locally at $p_i \in \mathcal{Z}$ for $p \in P$ becomes smaller in $\overline{r}_{p,\iota}(\pi'')$. We detail this argument. Let $p_i \in \mathcal{Z}$ correspond to the Jordan block decomposition J_i, i.e. the image of the chosen lift ρ_{p_i} at the prime p_i in the second preliminary step corresponds to J_i. Denote by $\overline{J}_i \subset \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ the reduction of J_i modulo a prime $\mathfrak{p}|p$ of F.

Assume that there exist infinitely many primes $p \in \mathcal{M}'$ such that the image of $\overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(I_{p_i})$ is a proper subgroup of $\overline{J}_i$. We can assume, without loss of generality, that these images coincide for infinitely many primes p. For each such prime, we prescribe a minimal lift locally at p_i and we may apply Theorem 4.4.1 of [BLGGT14] to produce another automorphic representation, say $\pi^{(p)}$, such that $r(\pi'')_\bullet$ and $r(\pi^{(p)})_\bullet$ are connected at all primes different from p_i, but with different types at primes $v|p_i$. Since the new family of automorphic representations $\{\pi^{(p)}\}_p$ have the same infinitesimal character at ∞, fixed ramification set and fixed types at ramified primes, the finiteness result of Harish-Chandra imply that one of them occurs infinitely often, that is, there exists a representation Π and an infinite set of primes p such that $\Pi = \pi^{(p)}$. As a consequence, we obtain infinitely many congruence conditions between the compatible system $r(\pi'')_\bullet$ and $r(\Pi)_\bullet$, implying that $r_{p,\iota}(\pi'')$ and $r_{p,\iota}(\Pi)$ are isomorphic. But their types at p_i are different by construction, which is a contradiction.

Thus, we have that, except for finitely many primes $p \in \mathcal{M}'$, the image of $\overline{r}_{p,\iota}(\pi'')$ contains unipotent elements of each possible Jordan form. Moreover, taking into account that $p > 2(2n+1)$, and noting that the irreducibility of the image ensures that it does not contain a non-trivial normal subgroup consisting of unipotent elements, we conclude that Theorem 2.1 applies, yielding the desired result.

□

Remark 5.4. *Note that by ALT-PD, the automorphy of $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi''))_\bullet$ implies the automorphy of $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi'))_\bullet$, thus we may replace π' by π'' for our purposes. Let us explain that all conditions required for the application of this theorem are satisfied in this congruence modulo ℓ. First of all, the*

prime ℓ satisfies $\ell > 2(4n+1)$. Concerning the residual image, over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$ it is absolutely irreducible as noted in the list of properties of π' (item 2), and then using the first listed property of the field F we deduce that after restricting to the absolute Galois group of $F(\zeta_\ell)$ the residual representation is still absolutely irreducible. Both tensor products are regular: for the one containing π' this was already noted in the list of properties of π' (item 3), and for the other one it follows from the choice of Hodge-Tate weights in the local parameters at primes dividing ℓ in the construction of π''. Finally, both tensor products are pot. diag. at primes above ℓ: again, for the one containing π' this was noted (we noted that it was Fontaine-Laffaille over $\mathbb{Q}$, and pot. diag. is preserved by base change) and for the other one it follows from the local parameters at primes dividing ℓ chosen when constructing π'' (together with the fact that the 2-dimensional component is Fontaine-Laffaille over $\mathbb{Q}$).

5.3 Preliminary step 3

In this step we want to perform a level raising in order to add a good monomial prime q to the compatible system. That is to say, we will introduce a specific type of ramification at a well-chosen prime q, that will ensure that, at all the steps in the chain, we will have residually large image in the $2n$-dimensional component. The concept of good monomial prime, which was first used in [KLS08], has its roots in the good dihedral prime appearing in the proof of Serre's Conjecture.

We need to introduce some terminology. Let t, q be two different odd primes, satisfying that the order of q mod t is $2n$. If $\mathbb{Q}_{q^{2n}}$ denotes the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_q$ of degree $2n$, and ℓ denotes an odd prime different from t and q, we may consider a character $\chi_q : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{q^{2n}}^\times \simeq \mu_{q^{2n}-1} \times U_1 \times q^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ such that the following properties hold:

- the order of χ_q is $2t$;
- The restriction of χ_q to $\mu_{q^{2n}-1} \times U_1$ has order t;
- $\chi_q(q) = -1$.

Via local class field theory, we may identify χ_q as a character of the absolute Galois group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^{2n}}}$. Then the representation $\rho_q := \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^{2n}}}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_q} \to \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is irreducible, and, for every $\ell \neq q, t$ its mod ℓ reduction $\overline{\rho}_q : G_{\mathbb{Q}_q} \to \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ has image equal to a $(2n, t)$-group (c.f. [KLS08, Section 2] for the definition of (n, p)-group).

This local representation can be exploited to ensure large image in a compatible system of Galois representations. Namely, let $(\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be a compatible system of λ-adic Galois representations (as in [BLGGT14, Section 5]), where λ runs through the finite places of a number field M, and assume that, for all $\lambda \nmid q$, the restriction of ρ_λ to a decomposition group at q equals $\rho_q = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^{2n}}}^{\mathbb{Q}_q} \chi_q$. For our applications, we want to ensure that, for all primes ℓ up to a certain bound B, the image of $\overline{\rho}_\lambda$ is *large* (i.e., satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 2.3).

Proposition 5.5. *Let $n, W \geq 2$ be natural numbers, and let $d(2n)$, $p(2n)$ be the constants from Theorem 2.3. Let F be a number field, and K/F be the compositum of all field extensions of F of*

degree at most $d(2n)+1$ which are unramified outside the primes above all $p \leq W$ and ∞. Let (t,q) be a couple of prime numbers satisfying that $q > W$ splits completely in $K/\mathbb{Q}$, $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2n}$, $t > \max\{d(2n)+1, p(2n), W\}$ and the order of q mod t is exactly $2n$.

Let $(\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be a compatible system of Galois representations $\rho_\lambda : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\lambda)$, indexed by the set of primes λ of a certain number field M, with ramification set S. Assume that all primes v in S, say $v|p$, satisfy $p \leq W$ except when $p = q$. Furthermore, assume that for all places λ of M with $\lambda \nmid q$,

$$\mathrm{Res}^{G_F}_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}_i}}}(\rho_\lambda) = \mathrm{Ind}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^{2n}}}}(\chi_q) \otimes \alpha,$$

where:

- *$\mathfrak{q}_i$ is any prime above q, and we identify $F_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ with $\mathbb{Q}_q$ via a natural isomorphism;*
- *$\chi_q : G_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^{2n}}} \to \mathbb{Z}^\times$ satisfies that, via the embedding $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ given by λ, is a character as described above;*
- *$\alpha : G_{\mathbb{Q}_q} \to \overline{M}_\lambda^\times$ is an unramified character.*

Then, for all $\ell \leq W$ prime and $\lambda|\ell$, the residual image $\overline{\rho}_\lambda(G_F) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$ satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 2.3.

Proof. Let us fix a place $\lambda|\ell$ with $\ell \leq W$, and let let L be the field cut out by $\overline{\rho}_\lambda$, so that $\Gamma := \mathrm{Im}\overline{\rho}_\lambda \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$. Since $\ell \leq W < q$, we have that $\mathrm{Res}^{G_F}_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}_i}}}(\rho_\lambda) = \mathrm{Ind}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^{2n}}}}(\chi_q) \otimes \alpha$, and in particular $\overline{\rho}_\lambda(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}_i}}) \subset \Gamma$ is a $(2n,t)$-group. We will show that $\overline{\rho}_\lambda(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}_i}}) \subseteq \Gamma^{d(2n)+1}$ (where $\Gamma^{d(2n)+1}$ is the intersection of all normal groups of Γ of index at most $d(2n)+1$), and the conclusion will follow from Theorem 2.3, taking $d = d(2n)+1 > d(2n)$, $p = t > p(2n)$, and ℓ, which is different from p because $\ell \leq W < t = p$.

Let us fix a normal subgroup H of Γ of index at most $d(2n)+1$; it suffices to show that $\overline{\rho}_\lambda|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}_i}}} \subseteq H$. Let L_H/F be the extension afforded by H; we have that L_H/F is a finite Galois extension of degree at most $d(2n)+1$. Since $\overline{\rho}_\lambda$ ramifies only at the primes of S, we have that L_H/F is unramified outside $S \cup \{\infty\}$. Moreover, since $\overline{\rho}_\lambda|_{I_{F_{\mathfrak{q}_i}}}$ has order $t > d(2n)+1$, we can conclude that L_H/F is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}_i$ for all $\mathfrak{q}_i|q$. Therefore, the extension L_H/F is a subextension of K/F. Since q is completely split in $K/\mathbb{Q}$, it is also completely split in L_H/F. Thus the decomposition group of L/L_H at each prime above q can be identified with the decomposition group of L/F at each prime above q. Thus $\overline{\rho}_\lambda(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}_i}}) \hookrightarrow H$, as we wanted to prove.

□

Remark 5.6. *For each n, W as above, the existence of the couple (q,t) is guaranteed by [KLS08, Lemma 3.4]*

Given the automorphic representation π'' produced in the second preliminary step, we want to apply a level raising result to introduce a good monomial prime q that will ensure that the residual image is large at (almost) all characteristics that we need to work with. In order to do this, we need

to take into account some quantities related to the representation π'', and some further quantities related to the 2-dimensional skeleton chain. Recall that in Remark 4.2 we had defined the extended 2-dimensional skeleton chain $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Moreover, before the first preliminary step in Section 5, we also fixed a constant $\widehat{k}$. We further define

- $C_1 := \sup(\{k_{\max}, w_{\max}, 2(4n+1), 2n-1\widehat{k}, 2nC_0\} \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2 \cup \{n+\ell^2 : \ell \in \mathcal{L}\} \cup \mathcal{Z}) + 1$.

Let $B > C_1$ be such that there exist at least 11 auxiliary primes t_i between B and $2B$ which are totally split in $F/\mathbb{Q}$. For future reference, denote this set of auxiliary primes as $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{aux}}$. Let us also define Comp as the compositum, inside a fixed algebraic closure of F, of all extensions of F which are of degree at most the maximum between $d(2n)+1$ and $p(2n)$ and ramified only above the primes $p \leq 2B$ and ∞.

We need to specify a couple of primes (t, q) in order to add the good monomial prime to π'' with respect to the bound $W = 2B$. More precisely, we want to obtain another automorphic representation π''' such that, for $\ell \nmid tq$, locally at a prime $\mathfrak{q}$ above q, the representation $r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi''')$ contains a $(2n,t)$-group, while at all primes v of F which are not above q, $r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi'')|_{G_{F_v}}$ and $r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi''')|_{G_{F_v}}$ are connected. This level raising will be achieved through an application of Theorem 4.4.1 of [BLGGT14], via a congruence modulo a prime $\mathfrak{t}$ above t. In order to apply this theorem, we need that t satisfies the following hypotheses:

1. $t > 2(4n+1)$ (in fact, $t > 2(2n+1)$) would be enough, but we impose a stronger condition that will be required later);
2. $\zeta_t \notin F$;
3. t is completely split in $F/\mathbb{Q}$;
4. $r(\pi)_{t,\iota}$ is potentially diagonalisable (this will be implied by inequality 6 below);
5. $\overline{r}(\pi)_{t,\iota}|_{G_{F(\zeta_t)}}$ irreducible. In order to guarantee this condition, we will require the prime t to satisfy the stronger condition in the conclusion of Theorem 5.3.

Besides, we will require several additional conditions on the size of t, that will be used later in our constructions:

6. $t > 2C_1$.
7. $t > \max\{d(2n)+1, p(2n)\}$ from Theorem 2.3.
8. t big enough so that if, for any $p \in \{2, \cdots, 2(2n+1)\} \cup \{13\}$, for $G \in \{\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}), \mathrm{SU}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}), \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}), \Omega^{\pm}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})\}$ satisfying that G contains an element of order t, then the exponent r is big enough so that G is adequate+. (cf. Theorem 2.8 and Proposition 2.12).

9. The cardinality of $\mathrm{PSL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_t)$ is greater than the cardinality of the Galois group of Comp over F.

Furthermore, we will ask a good behaviour of the prime t with respect to F and π'', namely

10. t is completely split in $H/\mathbb{Q}$, where H is the Hilbert class field of F;
11. t is completely split in the coefficient field of π'' over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Finally, we need the condition

12. $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2n}$ in order to apply Proposition 5.5.

The existence of a prime t satisfying all these conditions follows from the following lemma, which we label for future reference.

Lemma 5.7. *There exists a positive density of primes t satisfying the 12 conditions listed above.*

Proof. The proof follows from Chebotarev's Density Theorem, together with Theorem 5.3. □

Remark 5.8. *Condition 11 in Lemma 5.7 implies that the image of $\overline{r}(\pi''')_{t,\iota}$ will be contained in $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_t)$. This property will be exploited in Lemma 5.9 below.*

Next we want to specify the prime q, depending of a given prime t as in the conclusion of Lemma 5.7. Let us collect all conditions we want to impose to it (cf. Proposition 5.5).

- $q > 2B$;
- q completely split in $\mathrm{Comp}/\mathbb{Q}$;
- the order of q mod t is exactly $2n$;

Note that this last condition can be reformulated as follows: $\mathrm{Frob}_q \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q(\zeta_t)/\mathbb{F}_q)$ has order exactly $2n$. In fact, since q will be completely split in $\mathrm{Comp}/\mathbb{Q}$, it will also be completely split in $F/\mathbb{Q}$, thus the residue field of F modulo any prime $\mathfrak{q}$ above q will be $\mathbb{F}_q$; denoting by $\kappa(F_\mathfrak{q})$ the residue field of $F_\mathfrak{q}$, we get that the last condition is equivalent to

- For any prime $\mathfrak{q}$ above q, we have that $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Gal}(\kappa(F_\mathfrak{q})(\zeta_t)/\kappa(F_\mathfrak{q}))$ has order exactly $2n$.

Since we want that the representation $r(\pi''')_{t,\iota}$ connects locally at q with a lift providing a $(t, 2n)$-group, we need a compatibility relation between the reduction $\overline{r}(\pi'')_{t,\iota}$ and the reduction of such a local parameter at q modulo t. We specify this relation. Let M be the subfield of $\overline{F}$ cut out by the residual representation $\overline{r}(\pi'')_{t,\iota}$, and L the subfield of M cut out by the determinant of $\overline{r}(\pi'')_{t,\iota}$. The condition we need is that q is completely split in L/F and the order of the Frobenius element at q in $\mathrm{Gal}(M/L)$ equals $2n$ (which implies that, up to conjugation, Frobenius at q acts as a permutation matrix in M/F).

Lemma 5.9. *Let F be a number field such that $\zeta_t \notin F$, and let $\overline{\rho} : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_t)$ be a continuous representation such that the determinant $\det \overline{\rho} = \alpha \cdot \overline{\varepsilon}_t^k$, where k is a multiple of $2n$, $\alpha : G_F \to \mathbb{F}_t^\times$ is an unramified character and $\overline{\varepsilon}_t$ denotes the mod t cyclotomic character. Assume further that the image of $\overline{\rho}(G_F)$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_t)$ and that t is totally split in the Hilbert class field H of F. Then for any Galois extension F_{avoid}/F of order less than the cardinality of $\mathrm{PSL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_t)$, which is unramified at t, there exists a positive density of rational primes q, completely split in $F/\mathbb{Q}$, satisfying the following: There is a prime $\mathfrak{q}|q$ of F such that:*

1. *$\mathfrak{q}$ is completely split in F_{avoid}/F;*
2. *$\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} \in Gal(F(\zeta_t)/F)$ has order exactly $2n$;*
3. *$\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} \in Gal(M_{\overline{\rho}}/F)$ has order exactly $4n$, where $M_{\overline{\rho}}$ is the subfield of $\overline{F}$ cut out by the representation $\overline{\rho}$.*

Proof. The strategy of the proof will be to prescribe the image of $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} \in G_F$ in several, linearly disjoint finite extensions of F, by means of Chebotarev's Density Theorem. Recall that the condition that $\mathfrak{q}$ is completely split in a finite Galois extension F'/F amounts to asking that the conjugacy class of $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}$ in $\mathrm{Gal}(F'/F)$ is the class of identity.

First of all, note that we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\det \overline{\rho} = \overline{\varepsilon}_t^k$. Indeed, if this is not the case, we replace F by H and F_{avoid} by the compositum $F_{\text{avoid}}H$. On the one hand, let us check that all conditions of the Lemma are still satisfied. Since t is unramified in H/F, $\zeta_t \notin H$. Moreover, $\overline{\rho}(G_H)$ still contains the quasi-simple group $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. The extension $F_{\text{avoid}}H/H$ is unramified at t and the order of $F_{\text{avoid}}H/H$ is less than the cardinality of $\mathrm{PSL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_t)$. On the other hand, assume we produce a positive density of rational primes q, completely split in $H/\mathbb{Q}$, such that for some prime $\mathfrak{q}|q$, then $\mathfrak{q}$ is completely split in $F_{\text{avoid}}H/H$ and the two conditions on $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}$ hold. Then denoting by $\mathfrak{q}$ also the prime of F below $\mathfrak{q}$, we have that $\mathfrak{q}$ is completely split in F_{avoid}/F (because it is completely split in $H/\mathbb{Q}$ and $F_{\text{avoid}}H/H$. The fact that H/F is unramified at t implies that $F(\zeta_t)/F$ and H/F are linearly disjoint, so condition 2 holds. For the third condition, note that q is totally split in H/F, hence the decomposition groups at $\mathfrak{q}$ of $M_{\overline{\rho}}H/H$ and $M_{\overline{\rho}}/F$ are isomorphic.

Since $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2n}$, there exists some $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(F(\zeta_t)/F)$ of order exactly $2n$. We prescribe that $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}$ lies in the class of σ (**Condition 1**).

Next, let $L \subset M_{\overline{\rho}}$ be the subfield cut out by $\det \overline{\rho} = \overline{\varepsilon}_t^k$. Then L/F is a Galois extension, and we prescribe that $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} = 1$, that is to say, $\mathfrak{q}$ completely split in L/F (**Condition 2**). Note that this condition is compatible with the previous one. Indeed, if L/F is linearly disjoint with $F(\zeta_t)/F$, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, we need to see that if we prescribe that $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}$ has order exactly $2n$ in the extension $F(\zeta_t)/F$, then $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}$ has order 1 in $L \cap F(\zeta_t)/F$. Indeed, assume that the order of $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}$ has order exactly $2n$ in the extension $F(\zeta_t)/F$. On the one hand, $q^{2n} \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$ since q is completely split in F. On the other hand, $2n|k$ implies that $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q} \in \ker \varepsilon_t^k$. Thus $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{q}$ acts trivially on L, in particular it belongs to the conjugacy class of the identity on $(L \cap F(\zeta_t))/F$.

Thus, conditions 1 and 2 allow us to prescribe a behaviour of $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ in the extension $L(\zeta_t)/L$ and L/F. Next we want to prescribe the class of $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ in the extension $M_{\overline{\rho}}/L$. Let us check that this extension is linearly disjoint from $L(\zeta_t)/L$. Indeed, if there were some intersection, say F', then $\mathrm{Gal}(M_{\overline{\rho}}/F')$ would be a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(M_{\overline{\rho}}/L) \simeq \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_t)$. But the only normal subgroup is $\{\pm 1\}$, which would yield $\mathrm{Gal}(L(\zeta_t)/L) \simeq \mathrm{PSL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_t)$, a contradiction since $L(\zeta_t)/L$ is cyclic. This reasoning shows that we may prescribe any conjugacy class for $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ in $\mathrm{Gal}(M_{\overline{\rho}}/L)$, and it will be compatible with conditions 1 and 2.

Let us choose the element σ corresponding to the permutation matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This element has order exactly $4n$. We prescribe that the class of $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ in $\mathrm{Gal}(M_{\overline{\rho}}/L)$ belongs to the class of this element (**Condition 3**).

Conditions 1, 2 and 3 ensure that $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ has the desired behaviour in the extension $\mathrm{Gal}(M_{\overline{\rho}}(\zeta_t)/F)$. It remains to see that this behaviour is compatible with the condition of $\mathfrak{q}$ being completely split in the extension F_{avoid}/F.

If $M_{\overline{\rho}}(\zeta_t)/F$ is linearly disjoint with F_{avoid}/F, we are done. Otherwise, assume that $F_1 = M_{\overline{\rho}}(\zeta_t) \cap F_{\text{avoid}}$ is different from F. Recall that $\mathrm{Gal}(M_{\overline{\rho}}(\zeta_t)/F)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(M_{\overline{\rho}}/F) \times \mathrm{Gal}(F(\zeta_t)/F) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_t) \times \mathrm{Gal}(F(\zeta_t)/F)$.

Let us consider the quotient map $\mathrm{Gal}(M_{\overline{\rho}}(\zeta_t)/F) \to \mathrm{Gal}(F_1/F)$. We have that $\mathrm{Gal}(F_1/F)$ either contains $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_t)$, $\mathrm{PSL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_t)$, or is a direct product of cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z}$, where $s = 1$ or t. The first case cannot happen because $\sharp\,\mathrm{Gal}(F_1/F) \leq \sharp\,\mathrm{Gal}(F_{\text{avoid}}/F) < \sharp(\mathrm{PSL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_t))$, where the last inequality holds by hypothesis.

Hence $\mathrm{Gal}(F_1/F) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z}$, which implies the inclusion $F_1 \subset L \cdot F(\zeta_t)$. But both extensions L/F and $F(\zeta_t)/F$ are unramified outside t, hence F_1/F only ramifies at t. But since $F_1 \subset F_{\text{avoid}}$, t cannot ramify in F_1/F. We conclude that F_1/F is an abelian extension, everywhere unramified, hence F_1 is contained in the Hilbert class field of F. Since we assumed at the beginning of the proof that F coincides with its Hilbert class field, we can conclude that $F_1 = F$, so that the extensions $M_{\overline{\rho}}(\zeta_t)/F$ and F_{avoid}/F are linearly disjoint, thus finishing the proof.

□

We consider a prime $\ell = t$ as in Lemma 5.7, and for such a t the residue representation $\overline{r}_{t,\iota}(\pi'') : G_F \to \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_t)$. Note that we can apply Lemma 5.9 to this data, since π'' was constructed so that the determinant of $\overline{r}_{t,\iota}(\pi'')$ equals $\overline{\varepsilon}_t^k \cdot \alpha$, for some unramified character α and a certain exponent k divisible by $2n$ (see the list of conditions just before Remark 5.2).

Thus we can choose a prime q as in Lemma 5.9. We are now ready to apply Theorem 4.4.1 of [BLGGT14] and produce the desired automorphic representation π'''. The set S consists of the

union of $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{Z}$ and all primes of F above t and q. Recall that, by Condition 5, the image of $\overline{r}_{t,\iota}(\pi'')$, even when restricted to $G_{F(\zeta_t)}$, is irreducible. For each prime v above q, we fix a lifting $\rho_v : G_{F_v} \to \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_t})$ given by the induction from $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^{2n}}}$ to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$ of a character χ_q of order $2t$ as in the statement of Proposition 5.5. For every prime $v|t$ and $v \in \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{Z}$ we propose the same local parameter ρ_v than the one obtained from π''. Note that t is unramified in F, and by Condition 6, t is greater than the weights of π'', so we are in a Fontaine-Laffaille situation, and in particular ρ_v is potentially diagonalisable for all $v|t$. Applying Theorem 4.4.1 of loc. cit. we obtain another automorphic representation π''', satisfying the following list of conditions:

1. The Hodge-Tate weights of π''' are C_0-very spread;
2. π''' is unramified outside of $\{q\} \cup \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{Z}$;
3. The primes of $\mathcal{L}$ are Steinberg in $r_\bullet(\pi''')$;
4. $r_\bullet(\pi''')$ has a good monomial prime at q (i.e., the local parameter at $\mathfrak{q}$ is as described at the beginning of this subsection);
5. For all primes $p < 2B$, an application of Theorem 2.3 and 5.5 yields that the image of $\overline{r}_{p,\iota}(\pi''')$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$, $\mathrm{SU}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$, $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ or $\Omega^{\pm}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ and is contained in the normaliser of this group, where the exponent r is big enough so that the image is adequate+ by Proposition 2.12 (which follows from the size of t, see condition (8) in the choice of t).

Remark 5.10. *Note that by ALT-PD applied in residual characteristic t, the automorphy of $\rho_\bullet(f) \otimes r_\bullet(\pi''')$ implies the automorphy of $\rho_\bullet(f) \otimes r_\bullet(\pi'')$, thus we may replace π'' by π''' for our purposes. The conditions required to apply this theorem are easy to check. The weights on the two sides of this congruence are the same, and regularity of the side containing π'' was already noted in the previous subsection. As observed in the previous paragraph, the t-adic Galois representations corresponding to π'' and π''' are pot. diag., and since the same holds for the 2-dimensional component (because of Condition 6, $t > 2C_1$, thus it lies in the Fontaine-Laffaille range) the two tensor products representations are pot. diag. By Condition 1 on t we know that $t > 2(4n+1)$. Finally, for the residual image, one component has residual image that lies between $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_t)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_t)$ with $n > 1$, and the other component has an image that contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_t)$ because of Condition 6 on t. Thus the residual image of the tensor product is clearly sufficiently large. We conclude that ALT-PD can be applied.*

Remark 5.11. *We constructed the representation π''' in such a way that, for all primes $p \leq 2B$, we can ensure that the image of $\overline{r}_{p,\iota}(\pi''')$ is large. However, any other representation π^{iv} which has the same type as π''' at q, and such that the primes in the ramification set of π^{iv} are smaller than $2B$, will also have large image residual image at the primes $p \leq 2B$. At some places in Section 6, we will be forced to modify the representation π''' and replace it by another one; as long as we can guarantee that the ramification set of the new representation only contains primes smaller than $2B$, we will be able to make use of Proposition 5.5 to ensure that the image is still large.*

6 The $2n$-dimensional chain

Recall that in Section 4, we considered the chain of modular forms

$$\mathcal{C} = \{f_0, \ldots, f_h\}, \tag{6.2}$$

which connects the given modular form $f = f_0$ with a modular form f_h with CM by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$.

Recall that we are also given an automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$. Our aim is to prove that the compatible system of Galois representations $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet = (\rho(f)_{\ell,\iota} \otimes r_{\ell,\iota}(\pi))$ is automorphic.
The key idea is to consider a chain of representations

$$\{\rho(f_0) \otimes r(\pi), \ldots, \rho(f_i) \otimes r(\pi^{(j)}), \ldots, \rho(f_h) \otimes r(\pi^{(\text{end})})\}, \tag{6.3}$$

such that we can propagate automorphy from the right to the left. This process begins with the three Preliminary Steps of the previous section, after which the automorphic form π is changed by π'''. Observe that at this point (see previous section), objects are only defined over a suitable solvable extension F of $\mathbb{Q}$. After this, we attempt to move the 2-dimensional component in the safe chain that ends at the CM form f_h leaving the other component unchanged, but this is only possible at steps where the congruence in the 2-dimensional component is of Type A (cf. Section 4). The problem with the other cases (congruence of Type B or C) is that the available automorphy lifting theorems (e.g. Theorems 3.4 and 3.6) do not apply to propagate automorphy of the tensor product (from the right to the left). The idea to cope with this problem is to produce a refinement of the chain 6.2 and then to introduce several auxiliary steps at each of the conflicting spots, steps consisting in congruences where either the 2-dimensional, the $2n$-dimensional, or both components will change, in such a way that some ALT can be applied in each of these intermediate steps. The congruences in these additional steps will occur modulo primes belonging to the set $\mathcal{P}_1$ of primes p such that some of the congruences in the skeleton chain occurs modulo p, or belonging to the set $\mathcal{T}_{\text{aux}}$ of auxiliary primes fixed in the third preliminary step (subsection 5.3).This will be explained in full detail in the diagrams appearing in this section.

Of course, in order to conclude automorphy of these tensor products, this should be known at the rightmost one, i.e., we need to know that $(\rho(f_h) \otimes r(\pi^{(\text{end})}))_\bullet$ is automorphic. Since automorphy is preserved by solvable base change (cf. [BLGGT14, Lemma 2.2.2], we may look at the restriction of the system to $G_{F(\sqrt{-3})}$. Since $\rho(f_h)$ is the induction of a Hecke character from $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, automorphy follows from the automorphy of $r_\bullet(\pi^{(\text{end})})$ and [BLGGT14, Lemmas 2.2.1 and 2.2 4].

Before starting the analysis of each congruence, let us make some general remarks on the residual image of the representations that appear in the chain. For the three Preliminary Steps, it was already checked in the previous section that a suitable ALT can be applied, in particular, residual images were taken care of. So we can start with the automorphic form π''' in the $2n$-dimensional component.

Remark 6.1. *Let p be a prime belonging to the set $\mathcal{P}_1$ of primes such that some of the congruences in the skeleton chain occurs modulo p, or to the set $\mathcal{T}_{aux}$ of auxiliary primes fixed in the third preliminary step (subsection 5.3).*

Concerning the residual image of the 2-dimensional component, we already discussed in Section 4 that, if $p \in \mathcal{P}_1$, $\overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f)|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}}$ is adequate+. We need to examine the restriction of $\overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f)$ to $G_{F(\zeta_p)}$. Recall that, except at the very last step, we have that $\overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f)(G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)})$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$, where the exponent r is big enough to ensure that the group is adequate+. Since $F^+/\mathbb{Q}$ is totally real, we have that $\overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f)(G_{F^+})$ also contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$, with the same r as before (cf. [Die12b]). Finally, since the extension $F(\zeta_p)/F^+$ is abelian, we obtain that the image $\overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f)(G_{F(\zeta_p)})$ also contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ for the same exponent r. As a conclusion, the residual image of the restriction of $\rho_{p,\iota}(f)$ to the absolute Galois group of $F(\zeta_p)$ is also adequate+. Note that, in particular, this applies at the next-to-last move, where the congruence takes place modulo 3 and the image of the residual representation contains the subgroup $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^3})$, which is adequate + by Lemma 2.7. In the last step, we have a mod 13 congruence with a modular form g with CM by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Since the field F was chosen in such a way that $F/\mathbb{Q}$ is linearly disjoint from $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, \zeta_{13})$, we can ensure that the image of the restriction of $\overline{\rho}_{13,\iota}(f)$ to $G_{F(\zeta_{13})}$ is still a dihedral group, hence adequacy+ is preserved under this operation.

If $p \in \mathcal{T}_{aux}$, in particular it holds that $p > B$, in particular p is greater than all the exceptional primes of all modular forms that appear in the extended 2-dimensional chain $\widetilde{C}$ (such primes where collected in the set $\mathcal{P}_2$ in Section 5). Thus the image of $\overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f)$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $p > 3$, and from this again we can deduce that the image $\overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f)(G_{F(\zeta_p)})$ also contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, and this guarantees that this image is adequate+ by Lemma 2.7.

Concerning the $2n$-dimensional component, as we already observed, the Good Monomial prime occurring in $\overline{r}_\bullet(\pi''')$ ensures that, for all primes $p \leq 2B$ (in particular, for our prime p), the residual image of $r_{p,\iota}(\pi''')$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.12 and is thus adequate+. The restriction to $G_{F(\zeta_p)}$ remains adequate+, since this restriction clearly still satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.12. Finally, for all but the last step, knowing that the image in the 2-dimensional component contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ and is contained in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^w})$, and the image in the $2n$-dimensional component ($2n > 2$) contains a classical group $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$, $\mathrm{SU}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$, $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$ or $\Omega^{\pm}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$ and is contained in its normaliser in $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^w})$, they can only intersect in the quotient corresponding to the determinant. Remark 2.13 ensures that the image of the tensor product $(\overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f) \otimes \overline{r}_{p,\iota}(\pi'''))|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is adequate+.

For the last step, none of the results in Section 2 apply to deduce that the residual image of the tensor product is adequate. The problem is that we can not apply Remark 2.13 because when restricting to the extension that kills the determinant of the 2-dimensional representation this representation may not stay irreducible, thus it may not be adequate. So we need a special argument, and we use the following result which was communicated to us by R. Guralnick:

We use the notation in [GHT]: Let G denote the residual image in the last congruence, defined over a finite field L of characteristic 13. This group is a tensor product of a dihedral group and

a group containing a classical group as in Proposition 2.12. Then G^+ is a classical group on the natural module. Let H be the classical group over the algebraic closure of L containing G^+ and note that this is unique (because it is the group preserving the alternating form or quadratic form, or for SU *and* SL *it is* $\mathrm{SL}(V)$*). Since G normalizes H we get an algebraic group $X := GH$ with connected component H and $[X : H]$ of order prime to* 13 *because $G/G \cap H$ has order prime to* 13 *(the image of the dihedral 2-dimensional residual representation has order prime to* 13*). Thus by Lemma 2.10, it suffices to check that $H(L)$ is adequate+. Now, by Condition 8 in the choice of the prime t in the Preliminary Step 3 in subsection 5.3, all classical groups in dimension $2n$ and characteristic* 13 *containing an element of order t are forced to be defined over a field L of degree sufficiently large to enable us to apply Theorem 2.8 and conclude that $H(L)$ is adequate.*

This remark is extremely important because it ensures that in what follows in each congruence the residual image of the tensor product is large enough for the required ALT to be applied (from right to left).

6.1 Standard step: type A congruence

To simplify notation, we call $\pi = \pi'''$ the automorphic form obtained after the three preliminary steps (cf. Section 5).
To fix ideas, we will first describe how can we propagate automorphy from right to left when in the two-dimensional skeleton chain we have a type A congruence. The situation is the following: we have a congruence between two forms, say f and f' modulo some $p \in \mathcal{P}_1$, and we know that $(\rho(f')\otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ is automorphic. Thus we have that the residual representation $\overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f') \otimes \overline{r}_{p,\iota}(\pi) \equiv \overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f) \otimes \overline{r}_{p,\iota}(\pi)$ is automorphic. We want to apply Theorem (ALT-HARRIS) with $R = \overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f)$, $R' = \overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f')$, $S = S' = \overline{r}_{p,\iota}(\pi)$ to prove that the compatible system $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ is automorphic. Let us check that all hypotheses in this theorem are satisfied.

First of all, note that all primes in $\mathcal{P}_1$ (primes where the congruences of the chain take place); in particular, our prime p, are odd. Furthermore, by the choice of F, we know that $\zeta_p \notin F$. We have $n_0 = 2$, $n_1 = 2n$, therefore the condition $p \nmid n_0$ is satisfied. Moreover:

1. We can ensure that the compatible system $(\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ is regular, because the Hodge-Tate weights of π are C_0-very spread, so we don't lose regularity by tensoring with $\rho_\bullet(f')$, whose Hodge-Tate weights are smaller than or equal to C_0. Moreover, as explained in Remark 3.8 the extra regularity condition required in this ALT is satisfied. The same applies to $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$

2. The assumption of a type A congruence implies that $\rho_{p,\iota}(f)$ and $\rho_{p,\iota}(f')$ are potentially diagonalisable at all places $v|p$.

3. Furthermore, for each finite places $v \nmid p$ of F, the representations $\rho_{p,\iota}(f)|_{G_v}$ and $\rho_{p,\iota}(f')|_{G_v}$ are connected.

4. Concerning the residual image, we already discussed in Remark 6.1 above that the image of $(\overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f) \otimes \overline{r}_{p,\iota}(\pi))|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is adequate+.

Thus all conditions in Theorem (ALT-HARRIS) hold, and we can conclude that the compatible system $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ is automorphic.

In the moves where the 2-dimensional skeleton chain presents a congruence of types B or C between f and f', the previous reasoning does not work. We will introduce some new steps

$$(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet \sim (\rho(f^{(1)}) \otimes r(\pi^{(1)}))_\bullet \sim \cdots \sim (\rho(f^{(r)}) \otimes r(\pi^{(r)}))_\bullet \sim (\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi^{(r)}))_\bullet$$

by performing level and weight changes in the $2n$-dimensional component, the 2-dimensional component, or both. All these changes (except at one of the four last steps, which will be explained in detail) will be reversed, so that after these exceptional moves, we return to the compatible system $r(\pi)_\bullet$ in the $2n$-dimensional component (that is to say, $\pi^{(r)} = \pi$).

To perform the level and weight changes in the $2n$-dimensional component, two essential tools will be used. In the ordinary case, we will make use of Hida families to change the weight (cf. [Ger10]). Moreover, in the potentially diagonalisable situation, we will make use of Theorem 3.13.

6.2 Diagram 1-Congruence of type C

Set-up: We consider a move in the 2-dimensional skeleton chain involving a type C congruence modulo a prime p between two modular forms f_1, f_2. We want to construct a $2 \times 2n$ "safe chain" connecting $(\rho(f_1) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ with $(\rho(f_2) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$. Observe that we cannot apply (ALT-HARRIS), since the two compatible systems are not connected at all places (above a prime different from p) because of the change of level in the 2-dimensional component.

We will distinguish two situations: if the next link in the 2-dimensional skeleton chain is a type B congruence, we skip this step and proceed directly to Diagram 2 (cf. Subsection 6.3). Otherwise, we proceed as described below.

Our aim in this situation is to use Hida families to connect $(\rho(f_1) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ with $(\rho(f_2) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$. In order to do this, we first need to connect $r(\pi)$ with an ordinary automorphic representation. There is a way to do this, consisting of the following steps: first, we apply Theorem 3.13 to change the weight of π so that it has consecutive weights $(0, 1, \ldots, 2n-1)$, and then consider it modulo a Steinberg prime. By [Ger10], we will obtain an ordinary $2n$-dimensional representation.

However, if we perform the movements described above in the $2n$-dimensional component $r_\bullet(\pi)$ while leaving the 2-dimensional component $\rho_\bullet(f_1)$ unchanged, we may lose regularity. (Recall that regularity was guaranteed because the weights of $r_\bullet(\pi)$ were C_0-very spread). The challenge is to perform safe moves in *both* components at the same time.

Remark 6.2. *Note that, in general, the tensor product of two ordinary automorphic representations is not necessarily ordinary. However, in our situation we can ensure that we obtain an ordinary automorphic representation, because we arranged the weights so that they are sufficiently spread. Indeed,*

we will have that either the Hodge-Tate weights of the 2-dimensional components are separated by a greater distance than the difference of any two of the Hodge-Tate weights of the $2n$-dimensional component (which will have Hodge type 0), or else the weights of the $2n$-dimensional components are C_0-very spread. In particular, the difference between any two weights is greater than the weight of the modular form occurring in the 2-dimensional component (a similar argument is applied inside the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [Die12a]).

Let us call $(0, j_1)$ and $(0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{2n-1})$ the Hodge-Tate weights of $\rho_{p,\iota}(f_1)$ and $r_{p,\iota}(\pi)$, respectively. We will introduce three additional links between $(\rho(f_1) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ and $(\rho(f_2) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$. We illustrate the new chain via the following diagram. At the left column we write the weights of the modular forms involved, and at the right column we write the weights of the $2n$-dimensional automorphic representations. At the left hand side of each arrow, we indicate the prime modulo which the congruence occurs, and at the right hand side we specify which ALT will enable us to propagate modularity from bottom to top.

$$
\begin{array}{c}
[\{0, j_1\}, \{0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{2n-1}\}] \\
\pmod p \Big\downarrow (ALT{-}HARRIS) \\
[\{0, j_1'\}, \{0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{2n-1}\}] \\
\bmod t_{\mathrm{aux}} \Big\downarrow (ALT{-}PD) \\
[\{0, j_1'\}, \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1\}] \\
\pmod p \Big\downarrow (ALT{-}MIXED) \\
[\{0, j_1\}, \{0, m_1, \dots, m_{2n-1}\}] \\
\pmod p \Big\downarrow (ALT{-}MIXED) \\
[\{0, j_1'\}, \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1\}] \\
(\bmod t_{\mathrm{aux}}) \Big\downarrow (ALT{-}PD) \\
[\{0, j_1'\}, \{0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{2n-1}\}] \\
\pmod p \Big\downarrow (ALT{-}HARRIS) \\
[\{0, j_1\}, \{0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{2n-1}\}]
\end{array}
$$

We explain in detail each of the steps in the diagram:

1. In the first congruence, we want to raise the weight j_1 to $j_1' \in [2n, 2n + p^2 - 1]$. If our initial weight j_1 already belongs to this interval, we skip this congruence. Otherwise, Remark 3.10 enables us to apply Theorem 3.9 to the modular form f_1 to produce another modular form f' of weight j_1', such that $\rho_{p,\iota}(f')$ is potentially diagonalisable and connects to $\rho_{p,\iota}(f_1)$ at all places $v \nmid p$. The new link of the chain will be $(\rho(f_1) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet \sim (\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$. Note that this link is "safe", since from the automorphy of the right-hand side we can conclude automorphy of the left-hand-side by using (ALT-HARRIS). Indeed, since C_0 was constructed in such a way

that $2n + p^2 \leq C_0$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_1$, the tensor product $(\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ is regular. The rest of the hypothesis required to apply (ALT-HARRIS) hold exactly as in the standard step (cf. Subsection 6.1).

2. In the second congruence we perform the weight change in the $2n$-dimensional component to achieve consecutive weights. First of all, we choose an auxiliary prime $t_{\mathrm{aux}} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{aux}}$. We will make a new link in the chain via a congruence modulo this auxiliary prime. Note that, by definition of the constant B, we have that $t_{\mathrm{aux}} < 2B$, thus the residual image of $r_{t_{\mathrm{aux}},\iota}(\pi)$ is adequate+. Next, we use Theorem 3.13 to produce a Hodge type 0 automorphic lift of $\overline{r}_{t_{\mathrm{aux}},\iota}(\pi)$, say $r_{t_{\mathrm{aux}},\iota}(\pi^{(1)})$. Note that, by our choice of the set $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{aux}}$, the prime t_{aux} is bigger than $2n$, unramified in F and all primes of F^+ above it are totally split in F/F^+.

   The new link of the chain will be $(\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet \sim (\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi^{(1)}))_\bullet$. Note that (ALT-PD) ensures that this link is "safe". Indeed, the regularity of the right-hand-side holds because $j_1' > 2n + p^2 - 1$. Besides, the 2-dimensional component is Fontaine-Laffaille at t_{aux}, and the $2n$-dimensional component is potentially diagonalisable.

3. In the third congruence, we perform the level raising in the 2-dimensional component, moving to f_2. Since the weight of f_2 is j_1, we have to modify the weights of the $2n$-dimensional component to preserve regularity. Recall that our prime p belonged to $\mathcal{L}$. Therefore it is a Steinberg prime in the level of π. This property is preserved in $\pi^{(1)}$, since in Theorem 3.13 we did not change the type at any other prime different from t_{aux}. Since $r_\bullet(\pi^{(1)})$ has Hodge type 0, we have that $r_{p,\iota}(\pi^{(1)})$ is ordinary. We can thus move it in the corresponding Hida family and obtain a lift $r_{p,\iota}(\pi^{(2)})$, of $\overline{r}_{p,\iota}(\pi^{(1)})$, with weights $(0, m_1, \ldots, m_{2n-1})$. The only condition we need to ask to these weights is that they are sufficiently spread so that the tensor product $(\rho(f_2) \otimes r(\pi''))_\bullet$ is regular.

   We will then have a congruence modulo p between $\rho_{p,\iota}(f') \otimes r_{p,\iota}(\pi^{(1)})$ and $\rho_{p,\iota}(f') \otimes r_{p,\iota}(\pi^{(2)})$. In fact, one can check that the residual representations $\overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f_1)$, $\overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f')$ and $\overline{\rho}_{p,\iota}(f_2)$ are all equivalent (the first two by definition of f', and the first and third before they are linked by a mod p congruence in the 2-dimensional skeleton chain). Thus we may freely replace $\rho_{p,\iota}(f')$ by $\rho_{p,\iota}(f_2)$, and we obtain a congruence mod p between $\rho_{p,\iota}(f') \otimes r_{p,\iota}(\pi^{(1)})$ and $\rho_{p,\iota}(f_2) \otimes r_{p,\iota}(\pi^{(2)})$. Note that this establishes a "safe" link: Theorem (ALT-MIXED) applies in this situation, ensuring that if the right-hand-side is automorphic, so is the left-hand-side too.

   Note that, at this point, we managed to replace $\rho_\bullet(f_1)$ by $\rho_\bullet(f_2)$. However, the $2n$-dimensional component is not the original one. The aim in the next three congruences is to undo the changes that occurred in this component.

4. In the fourth congruence, we undo the second move in the $2n$-dimensional component. Like in the third congruence, in order to replace $\pi^{(2)}$ by $\pi^{(1)}$ and preserve regularity, we need to change the weight in the first component, choosing (for instance) the same $j_1' \in [2n, 2n + p^2 - 1]$. To produce a modular form f'' which has this weight and such that $\rho_{p,\iota}(f'')$ and $\rho_{p,\iota}(f_2)$ are

connected locally at all places $v \nmid p$, we apply again Theorem 3.9. Thus the next link is a congruence modulo p with $(\rho(f'')_\bullet \otimes r(\pi^{(1)}))_\bullet$. Just like in the third congruence, Theorem (ALT-MIXED) ensures that this new link is "safe".

5. In the fifth congruence, we undo the first move in the $2n$-dimensional component, linking our compatible system with $(\rho(f'') \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ modulo t_{aux}. Like in the second step of this diagram, the link is "safe" because of Theorem (ALT-PD).

6. Finally, we link our compatible system to $(\rho(f_2) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ working modulo p. Theorem (ALT-HARRIS) ensures that this last congruence is "safe".

6.3 Diagram 2: Congruence of type B

We turn now to the case when the move in the 2-dimensional skeleton chain involves a congruence of type B modulo a prime p between two modular forms f, f'. As in the previous case, we want to construct a $2 \times 2n$ "safe chain" connecting $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ with $(\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$. Note that in this situation we cannot apply (ALT-HARRIS) because the 2-dimensional component of the left-hand side is not potentially diagonalisable. Moreover, we cannot apply (ALT-MIXED) because in this situation the components which are ordinary are the 2-dimensional, and not the higher-dimensional ones.

As noted in Remark 4.1, whenever we have a type B congruence between f and f' (modulo p_{i+1}), the preceding congruence is of type C, and f is weight 2 and Steinberg at p_{i+1} (with one exception that we will consider in the next subsection). The diagram of congruences to connect $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ with $(\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ will rest on the previous congruence. Thus, if we meet a congruence of type C followed by a congruence of type B, instead of executing Diagram 1, we perform the following diagram, (which includes an iteration of Diagram 1 inside). The goal of these new links is to modify the $2n$-dimensional component to make it ordinary at p_{i+1}, just before applying Diagram 1. Thus once we finish performing Diagram 1, we will be in a position where both components are ordinary, and we will be able to move using a Hida family. We explain in detail each of the steps.

1. In the first step we have a modular form f_i of weight j_1, potentially Barsotti-Tate at p_{i+1} (recall that this is before the previous congruence in the chain, a type C congruence modulo p_i, is executed). What we do is a congruence modulo p_{i+1}. To ease notation, set $p := p_{i+1}$. If $j_1 \in [2n, 2n + p^2 - 1]$, then we can skip this step. Otherwise, by Lemma 3.9, there exists another modular form f', of weight j_1' in this interval, satisfying that $\rho_{p,\iota}(f')$ is potentially diagonalisable and connects to $\rho_{p,\iota}(f)$ at all primes $v \nmid p$. Thus the first link is a congruence modulo p_{i+1}, between $(\rho(f_i) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ and $(\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$. As in the first step of Diagram 1, this new link is "safe", that is, automorphy propagates from right to left.

2. We choose a prime $t_{\mathrm{aux}} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{aux}}$ which has not been used before, and using Theorem 3.13, we fix an automorphic representation $\pi^{(1)}$ of Hodge type 0, potentially diagonalisable at t_{aux}, which is an automorphic lift of $\overline{r}_{t_{\mathrm{aux}},\iota}(\pi)$. So at this step we have a congruence modulo t_{aux} between

$(\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ and $(\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi^{(1)}))_\bullet$. Theorem (ALT-PD) guarantees that this new link is "safe". This step ensures us that the second component will be ordinary at p_{i+1}.

3. In this step we want to replace f' by our original modular form f_i, while obtaining an ordinary automorphic representation in the second component. We can do this by looking modulo p_{i+1}, since $\rho_{p_{i+1},\iota}(f_i)$ is congruent to $\rho_{p_{i+1},\iota}(f')$ modulo p_{i+1}, and $r_{p_{i+1},\iota}(\pi')$ is ordinary. However, we do not want to lose regularity, hence we move in the corresponding Hida family and choose a member $r_{p_{i+1},\iota}(\pi^{(2)})$ with weights $(0, \tilde{m}_1, \ldots, \tilde{m}_{2n-1})$ in such a way that they are C_0-very spread. Thus, we consider the link $(\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi^{(1)}))_\bullet \sim (\rho(f_i) \otimes r(\pi^{(2)}))_\bullet$. The "safety" of this link is guaranteed exactly as in Step 3 of Diagram 1.

$$
\begin{array}{c}
[\{0, j_1\}, \{0, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{2n-1}\}] \\
\Big\downarrow {\scriptstyle (\mathrm{mod}\ p_{i+1})}\ {\scriptstyle (ALT\text{-}HARRIS)} \\
[\{0, j_1'\}, \{0, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{2n-1}\}] \\
\Big\downarrow {\scriptstyle \mathrm{mod}\ t_{\mathrm{aux}}}\ {\scriptstyle (ALT\text{-}PD)} \\
[\{0, j_1'\}, \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-1\}] \\
\Big\downarrow {\scriptstyle (\mathrm{mod}\ p_{i+1})}\ {\scriptstyle (ALT\text{-}MIXED)} \\
[\{0, j_1\}, \{0, \tilde{m}_1, \ldots, \tilde{m}_{2n-1}\}] \\
\text{DIAGRAM 1} \\
\Big\downarrow \\
[\{0, 1\}, \{0, \tilde{m}_1, \ldots, \tilde{m}_{2n-1}\}] \\
\Big\downarrow {\scriptstyle (\mathrm{mod}\ p_{i+1})}\ {\scriptstyle (ALT\text{-}ORD)} \\
[\{0, j_2\}, \{0, \tilde{m}_1, \ldots, \tilde{m}_{2n-1}\}] \\
\Big\downarrow {\scriptstyle \mathrm{mod}\ p_{i+1}}\ {\scriptstyle (ALT\text{-}MIXED)} \\
[\{0, j_2'\}, \{0, 1, \ldots, 2n-1\}] \\
\Big\downarrow {\scriptstyle \mathrm{mod}\ t_{\mathrm{aux}}}\ {\scriptstyle (ALT\text{-}PD)} \\
[\{0, j_2'\}, \{0, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{2n-1}\}] \\
\Big\downarrow {\scriptstyle \mathrm{mod}\ p_{i+1}}\ {\scriptstyle (ALT\text{-}HARRIS)} \\
[\{0, j_2\}, \{0, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{2n-1}\}]
\end{array}
$$

4. The next step is an application of Diagram 1 to the modular form f_i and the automorphic representation $\pi^{(2)}$. This enables us to perform the type C congruence modulo p_i in the 2-dimensional skeleton chain, thus linking $(\rho(f_i) \otimes r(\pi^{(2)}))_\bullet$ with $(\rho(f_{i+1}) \otimes r(\pi^{(2)}))_\bullet$.

5. Now we will devote our attention to the next step in the 2-dimensional skeleton chain, namely

the type B congruence. Thanks to the preceeding steps, the situation is the following: on the 2-dimensional component we have a weight-2 modular form f_{i+1}, which is both potentially diagonalisable at p_i and Steinberg at p_{i+1}. On the $2n$-dimensional component we have an automorphic representation $\pi^{(2)}$, such that $r_{p_{i+1},\iota}(\pi^{(2)})$ is ordinary. In this step we link $(\rho(f_{i+1}) \otimes r(\pi^{(2)}))_\bullet$ to $(\rho(f_{i+2}) \otimes r(\pi^{(2)}))_\bullet$ via a congruence modulo p_{i+1}. The "safety" of this link is guaranteed by (ALT-ORD). Note that, by Remark 6.2, both sides of the congruence are ordinary at p_{i+1}.

6. The purpose of the remaining steps is to undo the changes we did in the $2n$-component, in order to obtain back the compatible system $r_\bullet(\pi)$. Thus, we need to invert the moves we made in the first three steps of this diagram, without reverting the moves in the 2-dimensional component. In order to do this, we will need to pass to Hodge type 0 in the $2n$-dimensional component. Therefore, we need to modify the weights of f_{i+2} to preserve regularity. Thus, applying Theorem 3.9, we produce a modular form f'_{i+2}, such that $\rho_{p_{i+1},\iota}(f'_{i+2})$ is congruent to $\rho_{p_{i+1},\iota}(f_{i+2})$, and satisfying that its weight belongs to $[2n, 2n + p_{i+1}^2 - 1]$. (if the weight of f_{i+2} belongs already to this interval, we skip this step). Moreover, note that by definition of $\pi^{(2)}$, it holds that $r_{p_{i+1},\iota}(\pi^{(2)})$ is congruent to $r_{p_{i+1},\iota}(\pi^{(1)})$ modulo p_{i+1}. Thus we may link $(\rho(f_{i+2}) \otimes r(\pi^{(2)}))_\bullet$ to $(\rho(f'_{i+2}) \otimes r(\pi^{(1)}))_\bullet$ via a congruence modulo p_{i+1}. The "safety" of this new link is ensured by (ALT-MIXED).

7. Next, we pick the same prime t_{aux} that we used in step 2 of this diagram. Note that $r_{t_{\mathrm{aux}},\iota}(\pi^{(1)})$ is congruent to $r_{t_{\mathrm{aux}},\iota}(\pi)$. Thus we may link $(\rho(f'_{i+2}) \otimes r(\pi^{(1)}))_\bullet$ with $(\rho(f'_{i+2}) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ via a congruence modulo t_{aux}. This link is "safe" because Theorem (ALT-PD) applies, exactly as in step 2 of Diagram 1.

8. Finally, we link $(\rho(f'_{i+2}) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ to $(\rho(f_{i+2}) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ through a congruence modulo p_{i+1}. As in the first step of Diagram 1, one can check that this link is "safe", thus completing the diagram.

6.4 Diagram 3

In this subsection we address the last type-B congruence of the 2-dimensional skeleton chain. The essential peculiarity of this congruence is that, unlike all other congruences of type B, it is not preceded by a type C congruence. Therefore, we cannot apply Diagram 2 directly to it, and we are forced to devise a special diagram for this one step.

Recall (cf. Section 4) that, as input for this link, we have a modular form $f = g_5 \in S_{44}(\Gamma_1(17))$, and through a congruence modulo $p = 43$, it is linked to a modular form $g_4 \in S_2(\Gamma_1(17 \cdot 43))$. The modular form f is crystalline and ordinary at $p = 43$, whereas g_4 is ordinary (Steinberg) at 43. We want to pass to a Hodge type 0 lift of $\overline{r}_{43,\iota}(\pi)$ corresponding to an ordinary automorphic representation π which is ordinary at 43. As before, we have to be careful with the 2-dimensional component in order not to lose regularity. We perform the usual tricks.

1. In the first step, we modify the weight of f so that it is contained in the interval $[2n, 2n+43^2-1]$. If $n \leq 22$, we may skip this step. In order to perform this weight change, we first apply Theorem 3.9 to produce a modular form f' such that $\rho_{43,\iota}(f)$ and $\rho_{43,\iota}(f')$ are congruent modulo 43. We may thus link $(\rho(f) \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ with $(\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$. As in the first step of Diagram 1, (ALT-HARRIS) ensures that this link is "safe".

2. Next we choose a prime $t_{\mathrm{aux}} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{aux}}$ which has not yet been used. We may then link $(\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi))_\bullet$ with $(\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi^{(1)}))_\bullet$, where $\pi^{(1)}$ is an automorphic representation of Hodge type 0, whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 3.13. As in the second step of Diagram 2, this link is "safe" because (ALT-PD) applies.

3. The last step consists of a congruence modulo 43, where we link $(\rho(f') \otimes r(\pi^{(1)}))_\bullet$ with $(\rho(g_4) \otimes r(\pi^{(2)}))_\bullet$, where $\pi^{(2)}$ is a member in the Hida family at 43 containing $\pi^{(1)}$ with C_0-very spread weights $\{0, \widehat{k}, 2\widehat{k}, (2n-1)\widehat{k}\}$ for the number $\widehat{k}$ fixed at the beginning of Section 5. In this case, Theorem (ALT-ORD) ensures that this link is "safe".

$$
\begin{array}{c}
[\{0,44\},\{0,\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_{2n-1}\}] \\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pmod{43}}\ (ALT{-}HARRIS) \\
[\{0,j_1'\},\{0,\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_{2n-1}\}] \\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \bmod t_{\mathrm{aux}}}\ (ALT{-}PD) \\
[\{0,j_1'\},\{0,1,2,\ldots,2n-1\}] \\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pmod{43}}\ (ALT{-}ORD) \\
[\{0,1\},\{0,\widehat{k},2\widehat{k},\ldots,(2n-1)\widehat{k}\}]
\end{array}
$$

Remark 6.3. *Note that, at this step, we cannot undo the changes we did in the $2n$-dimensional component without reversing the changes in the 2-dimensional component. Hence, after performing this step, we will work with a different automorphic representation $\pi^{(2)}$ instead of π. However, this will not affect our reasoning; in particular, automorphy will still be propagated from right to left. Let us expand on this point.*

1. *The weights of $\pi^{(2)}$ are $\{0, \widehat{k}, \ldots, (2n-1)\widehat{k}\}$. Since $\widehat{k} > 2C_0$, they are C_0-very spread. Furthermore, by the definition of B, it holds that $(2n-1)\widehat{k} < B$, thus for all primes $t_{\mathrm{aux}} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{aux}}$, the representation $r_{t_{\mathrm{aux}},\iota}(\pi^{(2)})$ is Fontaine-Laffaille locally at the primes above t_{aux}.*

2. *The level of $\pi^{(2)}$ differs from the level of π at most at the primes 43 and $t_{\mathrm{aux}} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{aux}}$. Since the inequality $t_{\mathrm{aux}} < 2B$ is satisfied, the good monomial prime for π is also a good monomial prime for $\pi^{(2)}$ (cf. Remark 5.11). However, the prime 43 is no longer a prime of Steinberg type for $\pi^{(2)}$. Fortunately, this is of no consequence for us, since in the three remaining congruences of the skeleton chain, we do not use the prime 43 at all (more precisely, we perform congruences modulo $17, 3$ and finally mod 13).*

6.5 Conclusion

After performing the three Preliminary Steps described in Section 5, and after following the algorithm described in this section which allows us to move along the safe chain of 2-dimensional representations in a way that ensures that, for the tensor product, a suitable ALT can be applied from right to left, we conclude that the automorphy of the tensor product containing the CM form f_h (which follows from solvable base change, as explained at the beginning of this section) implies automorphy of the original tensor product. A priori, this is only deduced over a suitable solvable number field F, the CM field where the last automorphic form appearing in the chain is defined; but a final application of solvable base change allows us to conclude automorphy over $\mathbb{Q}$. This proves Theorem 1.1.

References

[AdRDSW15] Sara Arias-de Reyna, Luis V. Dieulefait, Sug Woo Shin, and Gabor Wiese. Compatible systems of symplectic Galois representations and the inverse Galois problem III. Automorphic construction of compatible systems with suitable local properties. *Math. Ann.*, 361(3-4):909–925, 2015.

[BLGG13] Thomas Barnet-Lamb, Toby Gee, and David Geraghty. Serre weights for rank two unitary groups. *Math. Ann.*, 356(4):1551–1598, 2013.

[BLGGT14] Thomas Barnet-Lamb, Toby Gee, David Geraghty, and Richard Taylor. Potential automorphy and change of weight. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 179(2):501–609, 2014.

[BLGHT11] Tom Barnet-Lamb, David Geraghty, Michael Harris, and Richard Taylor. A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy II. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 47(1):29–98, 2011.

[BNP12] Christopher P. Bendel, Daniel K. Nakano, and Cornelius Pillen. On the vanishing ranges for the cohomology of finite groups of Lie type. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (12):2817–2866, 2012.

[CCN$^+$85] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson. *Atlas of finite groups*. Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985. Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups, With computational assistance from J. G. Thackray.

[CT14] Laurent Clozel and Jack Thorne. Level-raising and symmetric power functoriality, i. *Compositio Mathematica*, 150(5):729–748, 2014.

[CT15] Laurent Clozel and Jack Thorne. Level-raising and symmetric power functoriality, ii. *Annals of Mathematics*, 181(1):303–359, 2015.

[DG15] Luis Dieulefait and Toby Gee. Automorphy lifting for small ℓ,. Appendix B in Automorphy of $\mathrm{Symm}^5(\mathrm{GL}(2))$ and base change by Luis Dieulefait, 2015. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 104(4):619–656.

[Die] Luis Dieulefait. Automorphy of $\mathrm{Symm}^5(\mathrm{GL}(2))$ and bases change. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 104(4):619–656, and the Corrigenda at:* `sites.google.com/site/luisdieulefait/`.

[Die12a] Luis Dieulefait. Automorphy of m-fold tensor products of $\mathrm{GL}(2)$. *Preprint*, 2012.

[Die12b] L.V. Dieulefait. Langlands base change for $\mathrm{GL}(2)$. *Annals of Math.*, 176:1015–1038, 2012.

[DZ16] Luis Dieulefait and Adrián Zenteno. On the images of the Galois representations attached to generic automorphic representations of GSp(4). *arXiv:1605.08020v3*, 2016.

[Ger10] David Geraghty. Modularity lifting theorems for ordinary galois representations. *Preprint*, pages 1–69, 2010.

[GHLS15] Toby Gee, Florian Herzig, Tong Liu, and David Savitt. Potentially crystalline lifts of certain prescribed types. *arXiv:1506.01050*, 2015.

[GHT] Robert M. Guralnick, Florian Herzig, and Pham Huu Tiep. Adequate subgroups and indecomposable modules. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*.

[GK14] Toby Gee and Mark Kisin. The Breuil-Mézard conjecture for potentially Barsotti-Tate representations. *Forum Math. Pi*, 2:e1, 56, 2014.

[GS03] Robert M. Guralnick and Jan Saxl. Generation of finite almost simple groups by conjugates. *J. Algebra*, 268(2):519–571, 2003.

[Gur99] Robert M. Guralnick. Small representations are completely reducible. *J. Algebra*, 220(2):531–541, 1999.

[Hil80] Howard L. Hiller. Cohomology of Chevalley groups over finite fields. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 16(3):259–263, 1980.

[KLS08] Chandrashekhar Khare, Michael Larsen, and Gordan Savin. Functoriality and the inverse Galois problem. *Compos. Math.*, 144(3):541–564, 2008.

[KS02] Henry H. Kim and Freydoon Shahidi. Functorial products for $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_3$ and the symmetric cube for GL_2. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 155(3):837–893, 2002. With an appendix by Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart.

[Ram00] D. Ramakrishnan. Modularity of the rankin-selberg l-series, and multiplicity one for $\mathrm{SL}(2)$. *Annals of Math.*, 152:45–111, 2000.

[SS97] Jan Saxl and Gary M. Seitz. Subgroups of algebraic groups containing regular unipotent elements. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 55(2):370–386, 1997.

[Tho] Jack Thorne. A 2-adic automorphy lifting theorem for unitary groups over CM fields. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*. to appear.

[Tho12] Jack Thorne. On the automorphy of l-adic Galois representations with small residual image. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 11(4):855–920, 2012. With an appendix by Robert Guralnick, Florian Herzig, Richard Taylor and Jack Thorne.